City of LENEXA

Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Comprehensive Plan | July 2012

Parks | Public Spaces | Natural Areas | Cultural Areas | Greenways | Trails | Streets

City of Lenexa Parks & Recreation Department

AECOM

Table of Contents

i. Table of Contents i
ii. Acknowledgements iii
iii. Executive Summary iv

1. Existing Parks and Open Space System Analysis 11
   - 1.1 History of Parks and Recreation in Lenexa 12
   - 1.2 Population and Demographics 16
   - 1.3 Existing Parks and Open Space System Analysis 24
   - 1.4 Existing Recreation and Sports Programs and Services 64

2. Needs & Priorities Assessment 81
   - 2.1 Public Involvement 82
   - 2.2 Citizen Attitude and Interest Survey 86
   - 2.3 Community Benchmarking 92
   - 2.4 Level of Service Analysis 98
   - 2.5 Summary of Needs and Priorities 156

3. Long Range Vision 159
   - 3.1 Parks and Sense of Place 160
   - 3.2 Guiding Principles, Goals and Objectives 166
   - 3.3 Conceptual Parks and Open Space System Vision 167

4. Implementation Plan 195
   - 4.1 Current Funding Analysis 196
   - 4.2 Future Project Prioritization 197
   - 4.3 Funding Alternatives 201

5. Appendices 207
   - 5.1 Meeting Minutes and Additional Notes
   - 5.2 Sample Park Analysis Form
   - 5.3 Public Opinion Survey Questionnaire
   - 5.4 Public Opinion Survey Response Data
   - 5.5 Additional 2010 Census Data
   - 5.6 Grant Funding Descriptions/Details

5. Appendices

Section 5.1 | Appendices

5.1 | Meeting Minutes and Additional Notes p. 209

5.2 | Sample Park Analysis Form p. 247

5.3 | Public Opinion Survey Questionnaire p. 261

5.4 | Public Opinion Survey Response Data p. 273

5.5 | US Census and Demographic Data p. 295

5.6 | Grant Funding Descriptions/Details p. 313

(page intentionally left blank)


The City of Lenexa
Gary Ristow | City of Lenexa
AECOM Technical Services Inc. (West Palm Beach)
David Barth, CPRP, AICP, ASLA | Principal-in-Charge/Project Manager (david.barth@aecom.com)
Ryan P. Cambridge, ASLA | Assistant Project Manager, Landscape Designer (ryan.cambridge@aecom.com)
Allison Crnic | Parks Planner (allison.crnic@aecom.com)
Management
BALLARD*KING
Ken Ballard, CPRP
(ken@ballardking.com)
Jeff King
(jeff@ballardking.com)
HELLER & HELLER
Barbara Heller, President
(barbara@hellerheller.com
Planners/Designers
INDIGO DESIGN INC.
Douglas E. Pickert, RLA, ASLA
(doug@indigoparks.com)
AECOM ARCHITECTURE
Barry Huhn, AIA, LEED AP
(barry.huhn@aecom.com)
Dan Sullivan, AIA, LEED AP
(dan.sullivan@aecom.com)
Market Research
ETC/LEISURE VISION
Ron Vine
(rvine@etcinstitute.com)
Chris Tatham
(ctatham@etcinstitute.com)
Funding
RMPK FUNDING
Ryan A. Ruskay
(rruskay@rmpkfunding.com)

AECOM
222 Clematis Street
Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
www.aecom.com

561 659 6552 tel
561 833 1790 fax

Agenda

Subject	Kick-Off Meeting (Scope Item 1.3)
Date	January 13, 2011
Time	1:00 pm CST
Location	Lenexa
AECOM Project No.	10330299.01
Project Name	City of Lenexa Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Comprehensive Plan

1:00	**Welcome and Introductions** - Identify Client and Key Team Contacts - Team Contact Directory
1:10	**Project Introduction/History (by City)**
1:20	**Project Overview Presentation**
1:50	**Review of Scope Items**
2:20	**Review Draft Project Schedule**
2:30	**Deliverable Examples and Discussion**
2:45	**Billing Arrangements** - Sample Invoice/Status Report
3:00	**Close**

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it.

AECOM

Meeting Record

To		From	
Name	Gary Ristow	Name	Ryan P. Cambridge
Firm	City of Lenexa	Firm	AECOM
Fax number	000.000.0000	Date Sent	00/00/00

Meeting Date: January 13, 2011
Project Name: City of Lenexa Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Comprehensive Plan
Project Number: 10330299.01
Subject: Client Kick-Off Meeting

☒ Meeting ☐ Telephone ☐ Conference Call

Distribution:
January 20, 2011

The following is a summary of our meeting held on January 13, 2011. This summary is not a direct transcript, but rather a summary of the discussion. Please notify me of any changes or corrections needed.

Minutes

What do we want to get out of the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Comprehensive Plan? (responses provided by audience)

1. Achievable long range vision
2. Clear understanding of the market
3. Clear picture of inventory, existing assets
4. Make product user friendly
5. Strong connections among existing efforts
6. Establish a roadmap for investment in parks, priorities
7. Clear idea of community needs
8. Role of the City in providing “Private” and “Public” needs
9. Demonstrate a clear understanding of the communities desires/wants
10. Continue promoting safety w/in the system
11. Clear, real understanding of community desires
12. Establish partnerships (schools, county, etc.), shared-use

-No current shared use agreement

13. Manage expectations, per available/projected budget, state from the start
14. Justify any cuts/lost program/facilities
15. Ensure "Laundry List" is broad
16. Maintain respect for history, but challenge department in moving forward
17. Understand development pattern changes and how they relate to needs
18. Trails to become "Transportation Network"

    -Destinations-Links
19. Understand impact of vision on economy/business development
20. Get public feedback on view of linkages, complete streets
21. Understand City's role in programming
22. Complete evaluation of existing facilities
23. Get the Youth voice
24. Adaptive re-use of abandoned facilities
25. What is the City's/Private Business role in providing for commuters?
26. Theater/performance programming, need/desire
27. Use survey to understand role of new civic center/programming

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION	ACTION/ACTION BY
1	Existing Data to be provided to AECOM for review per proposed schedule	CITY
2	Review and Vetting of proposed schedule by City Staff	CITY
3	AECOM to coordinate with City GIS Staff to begin assembly of base map	AECOM
4.	The City will establish a detailed inventory of the private recreation facilities, by facility type (e.g. basketball court, tennis court, indoor gym etc.) and produce this inventory in GIS format for use in Service Area Analysis.	CITY

END OF NOTES

The record herein is considered to be an accurate depiction of the discussion and/or decisions made during the meeting unless written clarification is received by AECOM D+P within five (5) working days upon receipt of this meeting record.

2

AECOM

Meeting Record

To		From	
Name	Gary Ristow	Name	Ryan P. Cambridge
Firm	City of Lenexa	Firm	AECOM Technical Services Inc.
		Date Sent	01/25/2011

Meeting Date: January 25, 2011
Project Name: City of Lenexa Parks, Recreation and Open Space Comprehensive Plan
Project Number: 10330299.01
Subject: Base Map Coordination Conference Call

☐ Meeting ☐ Telephone ☒ Conference Call

Distribution: January 25, 2011 to Gary Ristow

The following is a summary of our conference call held on January 25, 2011. This summary is not a direct transcript, but rather a summary of the discussion. Please notify me of any changes or corrections needed.

Minutes

Participants:
Ryan P. Cambridge, *AECOM*
Gary Ristow, *City*
Kirk Eidson, *City*
Logan Wagler, *City*
Jared Lind, *City*

- The creation of the Base Map is an integral part of the project for which the City is responsible. The majority of the analysis taking place in later phases of the project will be based on the data that is provided to AECOM within the base map, and other sources.
- It is important to ensure that all data included on the base map is as up to date and accurate as possible.
- The Service Area Analysis (Scope Item 1.15) will be done on a facility-type basis as opposed to a park-type basis.
- The City believes that they can either currently have or can obtain accurate private recreation facilities data within the city.

- The City wishes that the final product be provided in a three-ring binder format to allow for ease of use/modification. Landscape vs. Portrait format TBD.

Necessary Base Map Components

BASE COMPONENTS (mostly Shapefiles):

1. Road Network, complete
2. Sidewalk Network
3. Future Land Use Plan, current
4. Water Bodies (Lakes, Rivers, Streams, Wetlands)
5. Schools (public and private)
6. Parks (City, County, State)
7. Natural/Conservation Areas
8. Topography
9. Neighborhood/Community Boundaries
10. City Boundary
11. Off-Road Trails (existing and planned)
12. On-Road Trails (bike routes/lanes, existing and planned)
13. Parcel Lines
14. Churches
15. Transit Routes (Bus, Rail)
16. Historic Sites/Markers
17. Public Art Locations
18. Vacant Land/Parcels
19. City-Owned Parcels
20. Easements/ROWs
21. Census Data (if available)

PARK SPECIFIC COMPONENTS (Points Layers):

1. Multi-Purpose Fields
2. Football/Soccer Fields
3. Baseball Diamonds
4. Softball Diamonds
5. Basketball Court (outdoor)
6. Golf Course
7. Swimming Pools
8. Indoor Recreation Centers (including Gyms)
9. Community Centers
10. Tennis Courts
11. Playgrounds

Action Items:

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION	ACTION/ACTION BY
1	AECOM will send a sample, landscape format	AECOM

END OF NOTES

The record herein is considered to be an accurate depiction of the discussion and/or decisions made during the meeting unless written clarification is received by AECOM D+P within five (5) working days upon receipt of this meeting record.

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION	ACTION/ACTION BY
	report to the City for review.	
2	The City will coordinate the interdepartmental vetting and revision (if necessary) of the proposed timeline/schedule and provide this information to AECOM as soon as possible.	CITY
3.	AECOM will revise the project schedule per City comments (date TBD by Task 2)	AECOM
4.	AECOM will provide a list of base map components necessary for analysis (included within the above minutes)	AECOM

END OF NOTES

The record herein is considered to be an accurate depiction of the discussion and/or decisions made during the meeting unless written clarification is received by AECOM D+P within five (5) working days upon receipt of this meeting record.

AECOM

Meeting Record

To		**From**	
Name	Gary Ristow	Name	Ryan P. Cambridge
Firm	City of Lenexa (CITY)	Firm	AECOM
	13420 Oak St.		222 Clematis St. Suite 200
	Lenexa, KS		West Palm Beach, FL
	66215		33401
Fax number	000.000.0000	Date Sent	04/04/11

Meeting Date: April 4, 2011
Project Name: City of Lenexa Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Comprehensive Plan
Project Number: 10330299.01
Subject: Base Map and Access LOS Coordination Call

☐ Meeting ☐ Telephone ☒ Conference Call

Distribution:
Gary Ristow, *City of Lenexa*
David Barth, *AECOM*

The following is a summary of our meeting held on April 4, 2011. This summary is not a direct transcript, but rather a summary of the discussion. Please notify me of any changes or corrections needed.

Minutes

- Currently, the City prefers a service area analysis approach that is based on facility-type and not park-type.
- The City must decide how they want to approach the production of the map graphics generated by the GIS analysis and direct AECOM accordingly.
- Per the discussion, please find the “typical” park/facility typologies and associated service areas in Attachment A. *Please note that these are provided only as guidelines and the City is responsible for selecting which facilities fit into the categories, as well as what service area is appropriate to achieve the visions set forth by and for the City of Lenexa.*

ITEM #	DESCRIPTION	ACTION/ACTION BY
1	City to provide AECOM with the preferred Service Area's for each type of facility	CITY
2	City to choose between service area categories (e.g. all neighborhood facilities to have a service area of x/x miles) or to develop individual service area requirements that are unique for individual facilities	CITY
3	City will evaluate the sample surveys and provide AECOM with the preferred questions for ETC to utilize in the survey process and provide this information to AECOM by the Friday, April 8th if possible.	CITY
4	AECOM will provide the workshop notes to the CITY to help determine potential questions	AECOM
5	City will be updating the base map with the additional layers as discussed (multi-purpose green space, farmer's markets, park typologies, and relevant private rec providers) and will submit a final base plan to AECOM to review by Friday April 8th.	CITY
6	City will provide AECOM with the updated, 2010 Census data to be included within the Demographics Analysis.	

END OF NOTES

The record herein is considered to be an accurate depiction of the discussion and/or decisions made during the meeting unless written clarification is received by AECOM D+P within five (5) working days upon receipt of this meeting record.

ATTACHMENT "A"
"Typical" Park Typologies/Service Area Examples

1. *Typical* Park Typologies
    a. Tot Lot
    b. Neighborhood Park
    c. Community Park
    d. Special Use Facility
    e. Regional Park/Facility

2. *Typical* facility categories and associated access service areas
    a. **Neighborhood**: ¼ to ½ mile SA
        i. Playgrounds
        ii. Multi-Purpose Green Space
        iii. Basketball Court
        iv. Walking/Exercise Path or Trail
        v. Picnic Shelter

    b. **Community**: 1.5-3 miles SA
        i. Tennis Court
        ii. Outdoor Swimming Pool
        iii. Football/Soccer Field
        iv. Volleyball Court
        v. Dog Park
        vi. Canoe/Kayak Launch
        vii. Indoor Recreation Center
        viii. Multi-purpose Room
        ix. Fishing Dock/Pier
        x. Community Center

    c. **Regional**: 3-5 miles SA
        i. Hiking/Nature Trails
        ii. Public Golf Course
        iii. Indoor Swimming Pool/Aquatics Facility
        iv. (Community/Recreation Ctr.)

END OF NOTES

The record herein is considered to be an accurate depiction of the discussion and/or decisions made during the meeting unless written clarification is received by AECOM D+P within five (5) working days upon receipt of this meeting record.

AECOM
222 Clematis Street
Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
www.aecom.com

561 659 6552 tel
561 833 1790 fax

Agenda

Subject	City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan Visioning Workshop
Date	Wednesday, September 14, 2011
Time	8 am – 12 pm
Location	Lenexa Conference Center
AECOM Project No.	10330299.01
Project Name	City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan

Wednesday, September 14:
Participants attend this morning kick-off meeting to learn about the master plan and the direction for the workshops. This is not required but it would be very beneficial. The topics will be discussed during the times noted

8 am Registration and continental breakfast
8:30 am Welcome, Introductions
8:35 am Project Overview, Review of Needs Assessment Findings
9:00 am Sub-System Team Break-out Groups:
- Base maps, guiding principles, hierarchies, work plans

12:00 Lunch (provided)
1:00 – 5 pm Work Session
Indoor Community/Recreation Centers (1)
Nature/Interpretive Center & Programs (1)

6:30 – 8:30 pm Work Session
Indoor Community/Recreation Centers (2) – Potential site visits

Thursday, September 15

8 am Continental Breakfast (provided)
8:30 am Sub-System Progress Reviews, as required:
- Status of maps, narratives; questions/issues
- Review, refinement of work plan for Day 2

10:30 am Work Session
Maintenance (1)
Athletic Facilities (1)
12:00 Lunch (provided)
1:00 pm Work Session
Programs & Festivals (1)
Bikeways & Trails (1)

6:30 – 8:30 pm Work Session
Programs & Festivals (2)
Indoor Community/Recreation Centers (3)

OVER →

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it.

AECOM

Friday, September 16

8 am	Continental Breakfast (provided)
8:30 am	Sub-System Group Presentations - Concept Plans - Narratives (handouts) - Questions, issues, etc.
10:30 am	Discussion, Questions
11:30 am	Next Steps
12:00	Adjourn

NOTES:

It is anticipated there will be three (3) possible meetings regarding the Indoor Community/Recreation Centers. Two (2) meetings are anticipated for the Programs & Festivals. Attendance at all the meetings is encouraged but not mandatory.

Schedule is subject to change depending on participant availability.

AECOM
222 Clematis Street
Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
www.aecom.com

561 659 6552 tel
561 833 1790 fax

Sign-in Sheet

Project Name	City of Lenexa Parks, Recreation and Open Space Comprehensive Plan
AECOM Project No.	AECOM Project # 10330299

Name	Organization/ Department	Phone	e-mail
Kelly Tines	Parks Board	913-859-0149	ktines@kc.rr.com
Gary Ewing	Parks Board	913. 481.4589	gary.ewing@cimma.com
Marc LASSALLE	Lenexa Historical Society	9136960187	marcl@legler-barn.org
Jeff Chalk	3&2 Baseball	913. 888-8055	jeffchalk@3and2baseball.com
Bob Amland	Resident	913. 314. 9201	bamland@cerner.com

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it.

AECOM

AECOM
222 Clematis Street
Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
www.aecom.com

561 659 6552 tel
561 833 1790 fax

Sign-in Sheet

Project Name	City of Lenexa Parks, Recreation and Open Space Comprehensive Plan
AECOM Project No.	AECOM Project # 10330299

Name	Organization/ Department	Phone	e-mail
Tony Cosby	City of Overland Park	913 895-6351	tony.cosby@opkansas.org
Greg Ruether	City of Overland Park	913-327-6634	gregoruether@opkansas.org
Bill Nicks			WNICKS@EVERESTKC.NET
Mark Huppe	St James Academy		MHuppe@SJAKeepingFaith.org
Eric Wade	City of Lenexa		
Lane Green	Olathe Public Schools		LGREENEC@OLATHESCHOOLS.com
Nick Disidore		530-9227	ndisidore@htlenexa.org
RIAN WATTS		782-2010	RIANWATTS@SBCGLOBAL.NET
Rob Mogren	SWUSC	816 506 2296	rmogren2@me.com
JASON POWERS	SWUSC	9139405431	JASONP@mitbenefits.com
Terry Reuter	i9 Sports	816-589-9894	treuter@i9Sports.com
MARK VON ELLING	KC COMETS	913-636-2950	MVONELLING@KC.RR.COM
Marlin Wallenburg	Resident	913-888-0738	mwallenburg@inergyservices.com
Connie Berggren	Resident	913-894-0299	connie_bgood@yahoo.com
Mike Kostroske	Resident	913-888-3233	kostroske@everestkc.net
BRUCE DANIEL	RESIDENT	913-894-2901	bljdaniel@everestkc.net
LINA DANIEL	"	"	"
Wendee Egbert	Resident	913-859-9145	kevinegbert@yahoo.com
LOWELL TEAGUE	RESIDENT PTA PRESIDENT SUNFLOWER	913-894-1167	LTEAGUE@EVERESTKC.NET

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it.

AECOM
222 Clematis Street
Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
www.aecom.com

561 659 6552 tel
561 833 1790 fax

Sign-in Sheet

Project Name	City of Lenexa Parks, Recreation and Open Space Comprehensive Plan
AECOM Project No.	AECOM Project # 10330299

Name	Organization/ Department	Phone	e-mail
Doug Robinson	City of Lenexa/Finance	913-477-7544	drobinson@ci.lenexa.ks.us
Jill Grube	City of Lenexa/Finance	913-477-7542	jgrube@ci.lenexa.ks.us
Susanne Neely	City of Lenexa - Communications	477-7557	sneely@ci.lenexa.ks.us
Beccy Yocham	City of Lenexa - Legal	913-477-7628	byocham@ci.lenexa.ks.us
Don Krone	City of Lenexa - PD	477-7575	dkrone@ci.lenexa.ks.us
Chuck Williams	City of Lenexa, Municipal Services	7660	cwilliams@ci.lenexa.ks.us
Gene Johnson	Shawnee Mission School Districts		genejohnson@smsd.org
Lucille Neeld	Lenexa Ks.		
Shirley Schmidt	Lenexa, Ks.		
James Neeld	Lenexa, Ks		
Ellen Miller	Lenexa KS		
David Cooper	Lenexa, KS		
Phyllis Cooper	Lenexa, KS		
Karen Killion	Lenexa, Ks.		
Rebecca Ward	Lenexa, Ks.		
Joyce Stewart	Lenexa KS		Joyce.stewart@prudential.com
Doug Pickert	Indigo Design		
Sim O'Neil	Lenexa KS		
BOB DEADY	" "		BOBDEA@EVEREST KC, NET
Lynn Marten	" "		lmarten@everest kc.net
Darlene Bedford	Lenexa, KS (western)	816-807-7879	Darby835@aol.com
Allison White	Lenexa, KS	913-248-0255	allisonwhite@everestkc.
Katie Linden	Lenexa, KS	913-526-8892	lindencm@bv.com

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it.

Nancy Kunder (Park Board) Greg Mischwich, Lenexa, KS.

AECOM

AECOM
222 Clematis Street
Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
www.aecom.com

561 659 6552 tel
561 833 1790 fax

Sign-in Sheet

Project Name	City of Lenexa Parks, Recreation and Open Space Comprehensive Plan
AECOM Project No.	AECOM Project # 10330299

Name	Organization/ Department	Phone	e-mail
Kay Plaster	Lenexa Arts Council	913-492-7492	katididok@yahoo.com
Judy Tuckness	Lenexa Arts Council	913-244-9653	jktuckness@yahoo.com
Nancy Klunder	Lx Park and Rec Board	913-768-1175	WANTHYME@KC.RR.COM
Katie Linden	Lenexa Park & Rec Board	913-526-8892	lindencm@bv.com
Lou Serrone	City Council		Lou@SERRONE.com
~~Doug~~			

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it.

AECOM
222 Clematis Street
Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
www.aecom.com

561 659 6552 tel
561 833 1790 fax

Visioning Workshop Notes

Subject	City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan Visioning Workshop
Date	Wednesday, September 14, 2011
Time	8 am – 12 pm
Location	Lenexa Conference Center
AECOM Project No.	10330299.01
Project Name	City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Comprehensive Plan

Programs and Special Events Guiding Vision:

- Program conflict rentals vs. public access
- Utilize resources to partner/expand programs – County/City
- Area wide joint meeting
- Different location for some festivals
- Indoor arts opportunity
- Expanding market
- Indoor recreation center
- Concert series
- Adding spring festival
- Affordability

Choices: good quality fewer programs?
More partnerships/sponsors?

Potential Evaluation Criteria

- Emotional attachment
- $/cost recovery
- Response/attendance
- Economic spinoff
- Customer feedback

Lenexa Recreation Programs

Provide wide variety of affordable recreation programs to meet the needs of City residents of all ages, in partnership w/Johnson County and/or other providers.

- Future senior programs will be mainstreamed into recreational center?
- Johnson County to provide senior program?
- Partner w/Brown and Gold club at J.C. Community College

Program Models;

- Facilitator/Admin
  City or other facilities
- Hybrid/Partnership
- Full provider

*Do additional analysis, surveys, financial analysis to determine appropriate programs; also exit interviews

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it.

AECOM

Additional Special Events: Bring community together economic development

- Farmers Market
- Talent show
- Music in the park(s)
- Spring festival
- Dinner theater
- Old town events?
- Volks Family march
- Carnivals
- Art shows
- Circus
- Street fairs
- Car shows

Neighborhood Parks:

- Vision
- Distribution
- Prototype

Bikeways and Trails

Guiding vision

- Increased on road connectivity
- Connect with surrounding municipalities

Benchmarks

- Denver, CO
- Portland, OR
- Minneapolis, MN
- Shawnee, KS
- Ft Collins, TX

Main issues in Lenexa

- Finances-not enough $
- Adjusting to reduce budget
- Changing demographics – different needs?
  - age, race socio-economics
- Variety of accessible housing stock
- Need recreation opportunities for multi-family housing development
- Acceptance of “sustainable” park models and practices
- Maintenance of HOA Ponds
- Recreation Programs/Festivals

Regional Bikeways Map

- Marc development regional, digital map can incorporate Lenexa
- Trail signage/wayfinding
  - Different levels of details
- Lenexa trail alignment
- Metro green website
- Existing maintenance is unacceptable
- Emergency vehicle access to trails

AECOM

- Share the road program
- Mountain bike special park/trails

Bike Lane
- Smooth clean surface
- Signage
- Protected storm drain, standards

Multi-Purpose Path/Trail
- Trail maps at trail-heads
- Mile markings
- 1" -3" minimum clear zone
- Minimum width of 10' Center line stripping
- Divider poles @ hills too steep
- G.P.S. emergency markers
- Occasional seating areas
- Reg signage

Divided M.P. Trail
- Same/similar to M.P. except
  - Divider-veg
  - Divided by veg, brick, posts

Trail Access Point
- Surface marking
- Trail -----signage
- Control features

Minor Trailhead
- Kiosk
- Seating
- Small Shelter
- Bike Rack

Major Trailhead
- Dedicated Parking
- Water Fountain
- Restrooms
- Kiosk
- Playground
- Bike Rack
- Seating area
- Air station
- Have transit access over time

Nature and Environmental Vision:

Nature/ Interpretive Center
- Based in Black Hoof park; recently built pavilion and small storage/classroom building and outdoor lab spaces
- Wide variety of affordable programs for residents of all ages
- Partnerships with County , non-profits, volunteers

AECOM

Nature/Interpretive Center and Programs

- Demand is holding/increasing
- No cost recovery mandate; generally some revenue (direct cost)
- Outsources/partners with some organizations
- Mission los cost, accessible nature and environment programs for the City of Lenexa, for all ages
    - Indoor classroom space, Black Hoof (future storage/classroom building)
    - Outdoor classroom space (existing pavilion and outdoor learning lab)
    - Knowledgeable naturalist/planner collaborator
    - Programs and partnerships
    - Volunteers, master naturalist
    - Programs respond to residents needs, desires; survey participants

Indoor Recreation Vision:

Q1 = Core Programs/Services

- Fitness center (cardio/strength)
- Indoor walking/ Jogging track
- Indoor pool (lap swimming and Leisure Park)
- Inviting for all ages/demographics
- Consider bringing in senior programs
- Daytime use by families w/children/home schools, etc.
- Possible use for arts/performance
- Possible cooperation with J.C.P.R. at Shawnee Mission Park

Public Indoor M.P. Aquatic Center
(don't disrupt existing neighborhood)

- Adequate easy parking
- 3-5 acres parking
- Central location-existing population
- Highly visible location
- Available public transport
- Minimum ten acre site
- Residents desire
- Cost of Land
- Part of campus/synergy
- Distance from similar/facilities competitors
- High traffic count location

Indoor Recreation Center(s) Location

1. Community center campus – area renovation/expand (east)

Existing Community Center Site: Special use/events
Special use/events center

- Dedicated indoor program space
- Indoor walking path
- Outdoor space

AECOM

- Kitchen
- Additional gym w/game room
- Concessions
- Meeting/banquettes hall
- Multipurpose class rooms

Indoor Community Recreation

- Rehab/expand ex. Community center into special use/events center
- New multi-purpose recreation (aquatics center e.g. Matt Toss center in O.P. (80,000 sf), centrally located in 435 8th St corridor. Possibly Sar.Ko.Par
- Potential 2nd (3rd) future center in western area if demand justifies
- Civic Center? At City center

Community Aquatics Center Site

- Tween Space
- Indoor Child play space
- Fitness center/cardio
- Child watch space
- Indoor walking/jogging
- Indoor spill out space
- Indoor pool
- Kitchen
- All ages
- Concessions senior programs
- Birthday party rooms
- Family's kids/programs
- Yoga Pilates studio
- Multi-purpose art/crafts
- Performing arts space
- Therapy pool
- Meeting/banquette space
- Physical therapy space
- Gymnasium two courts
- Multi-purpose classrooms
- Administrative offices
- Pre-school space

Athletic Fields Vision:

1. Practice vs. Game fields
    - Impacts staffing/maintenance levels
    - Also, restrooms/parking, etc.
2. Practice fields
    - Qty needed/acres
3. Club vs. general public facilities/sites
    - I.E. recreation programming gap
4. Gym space needs/programming limitations
5. Pools- indoor/outdoor
    - QTYS and locations

- Improve freedom fields for basketball/softball and soccer to ser new recreation sports programs

AECOM

lights, ameniti3es, irrigation, turf etc. concessions?

- Electric and buffalo meadows have potential for new practice fields
- Future expansion at Centennial Park
- Improvements at Mill Creek
- Partnership w/County clubs
- Maintain our current acre LOS

Alternative Vision

- Provides facilities and programs for recreational sports and (freedom fields) (GAP) provides practice fields for clubs and other organizations for fees. Soccer, maybe other sports, early youth upgrade ex. Facilities; restrooms, dugouts, shade playgrounds, etc. and future fields, spaces

Other Outdoor Athletic Facilities

- Tennis – Courts, programs, rentals upgrade existing 14 courts; potential new complex in west, 6-10 courts, location TBD, partner with school?
- Disk Golf – Future course at Black Hoof
- Volleyball- Future courts in west
- Basketball
- Skate park
- Outdoor Ice Rink
- Youth – to expose kids to a variety of arts, recreation programs. To produce health, fitness affordable family recreation fun, introductory programs
- Seniors
- Festivals

Existing Athletic Fields Vision

- Provide primarily recreation practice fields, non-irrigated and non-lighted, to serve both youth and adults some individuals or clubs will use to play games. City not compensated + 14 designated fields

Basketball (outdoor)

- ½ Court – maintain what we have assume schools are accessible, respond to requests in the future
- Full Court – two destination course in a highly visible location needs to be welled supervised, policed, etc.

Skates Parks

- Expand/update existing park at SAR.KO.PAR. build destination, advanced skate/bike (BMX) park

Outdoor Ice Rink

- Future civic center facility (Destination) e.g. Millennium Park, Milwaukee, Crown Center, National Gallery in DC Park Place/Town Center in Leewood.
- Discontinue current rink

Maintenance Vision:

Turf

Acres mowed

AECOM

Cost per acre
Satisfaction of athletic field users (annual satisfaction survey)

Landscape
trees maintained
Acres landscape
Cost per tree/acre

Facilities
- Satisfaction survey
- # sq. feet
- Costs s.g. foot

- Working on preventative maintenance 9pm) schedule, including repair and replacement schedule; equipment replacement fund (vehicles etc.) SMERF 9small equipment)

- Set up annual report/dashboard system to report to council | automated work order system | what to track?

Three divisions
- Turf
- Landscape
- Facilities

Potential Strategies

1. Require maintenance estimates| budget during design process
2. Conduct maintenance strategies workshop with council use maintenance levels, costs, etc. include discussion re: festival demands

Issues
- Pedestrian/bike trails not a given a high priority by public works 9trails are included in pavement maintenance program (PMP)
- Fountains-starting to age (5 on Renner Road still the Marque Rd?)
- Need to estimate CIP + min
- Have workshop discussions with Council
- Fountain Sponsorship?
- Staffing – 65% of staff is seasonal also contract out moving other services
- Keeping acquiring properties, eg. Prairie State Parkway
- Festivals/special events priority

*Establish responsibility for sidewalk snow removal for parks should City take positin re: sidewalks City wide

Existing Maintained:
- Parks
- Medians, rows
- Public buildings (grounds)
- Owner City property
- Internal trails (other trails maintained by public works)
- Pools
- Fountains

Issues cont'

AECOM

- Practice fields not irrigated, but not used for games
- Holiday decorations are outdated + 30 years old, need to be replaced, Old town

Summary of Policy Decisions

1. Maintenance priorities
2. Festival Strategy
3. Fountain Repair
4. Dashboard/Staffing trends
5. Maintenance estimates w/CIP
6. Christmas Decorations
7. Athletic/practice field

Maintenance

- Three divisions; turf, landscape, facilities
- Repair and replacement budget
- Continued coordination w/public works PMP for trails
- More sophistication data systems: preventative maintenance, work order, dashboard
- Policy workshop w/council; priorities, CIP maintenance, athletic fields fountains, festivals, etc.

Trails Maintenance

- Access condition of existing trails
- Establish as a top priority
- Workshop w/PW Council community development
- Safety- is an issue
- Trails maintenance program
- Funding

Community Centers

- Legler Barn, Armorg, Conference center appropriate role for P&R?
- Staffing, maintenance | maintenance discussion

Nature Center

- Potential expansion of B.H.P. to the north

MISC:

- Include program program/festival focus as part of master plan
- City center civic presence w/library, museum, visual/performing arts
- Connect to Old Town-events center, Santa Fe Trail
- Complete streets
- Relationship to Shawnee mission

Needs Assessment Comments

- Have a higher need for football/soccer fields than indicated by SCORP
- Farmers Market – Not convenient to drive long distance; also may wish to support local vendors, sense of community.
- Make needs assessment data available
- Open space regulations should be reviewed

AECOM
222 Clematis Street
Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
www.aecom.com

561 659 6552 tel
561 833 1790 fax

Sub-System Visions

City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Visioning Workshop

SUB-SYSTEM VISION QUESTIONS

1. **BIKEWAYS AND TRAILS** (Laura Turnbull, Community Development Department)
   Potential Stakeholders: Planning, Public Works, Regional Bike Planning Group
   - What is the appropriate typology of bicycle/pedestrian facilities for Lenexa, e.g. off-road trails, wide multi-purpose sidewalks, on street bike lanes, signed shared streets, bike routes, etc?
   - Where are the existing proposed facilities?
   - What is the desired scale of the City's vision for a bikeways and trails network, e.g. "every street should be bicycle/ pedestrian friendly", or "every resident should be able to access the network within ½ mile from their home (a +/- 1 mile grid)?
   - What are the goals of the network, e.g. "every resident should be able to safely commute to work", or "every resident should be able to enjoy a leisurely 5 mile recreational bike ride"?
   - What are the appropriate criteria for each type of facility? For example, should bike lanes by constructed on major arterials where possible, or should we use quieter parallel roads? Should the use of sidewalks be encouraged?
   - What are the major origins and destinations in the City?
   - Where are the major bottlenecks or hot spots, e.g. bridges and underpasses?
   - Should certain streets be closed on weekends to create a more bicycle friendly environment?

   Charrette Product: A map and text illustrating and describing the City's future vision for its system of Bikeways and Trails (show existing and proposed facilities on the base map, including proposed cross sections and "hot spot details")

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it.

AECOM

City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Visioning Workshop
SUB-SYSTEM VISION QUESTIONS

2. INDOOR COMMUNITY/ RECREATION CENTERS (Gary Ristow)
Potential Stakeholders: County, Libraries, private providers

- What *core recreational, educational and/or social programs* should be offered to City residents, including youth, teens, adults and seniors? (e.g. "after school", "adult learning", etc)
- Which of these programs should be available at the neighborhood level (say within ½ mile walking distance of every resident)? Which should be provided at the community-wide/ central level?
- What facilities (ideally) would be needed to deliver these programs, including both neighborhood and community facilities; what is an ideal typology and model of Community/ Recreation Centers including ideal size, service areas (distance from one another) and facilities? (e.g. "every neighborhood should have a 5,000 square foot multi-purpose center within a ½ mile walk, offering after school and adult fitness programs; every community should have a 25,000 square foot multi-purpose center with a computer lab, pool and gymnasium, offering specific programs, within a 3 mile drive of every resident; there should be three centralized therapeutic recreation centers, each 15,000 square feet, one with a therapeutic pool")
- Should indoor pools/ aquatic centers be part of every community center?
- How can existing/ proposed schools, YMCAs, libraries and other civic buildings be integrated into the model to maximize efficiency and minimize the need for new/ additional facilities?

Charrette Product: A map and text illustrating and describing the City's future vision for its system of Community/ Recreation Centers (show existing and proposed centers, schools and libraries on the base map)

AECOM

City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Visioning Workshop
SUB-SYSTEM VISION QUESTIONS

3. **ATHLETIC FACILITIES** (Dawn Grosdidier)
   Potential Stakeholders: Sports Leagues, County
   Note: Coordinate closely with the Community/ Recreation Center Group

- What is the appropriate typology of athletic facilities – for practice, games and tournaments – e.g. multi-purpose practice fields, sports fields, gymnasiums, tournament complexes, recreational pools, competition pools, etc
- What planning criteria should be used to develop a model for athletic facilities, e.g. travel times or distances, multiple use vs. single use, practice vs. games, lighted vs. unlighted, etc? For example, "every neighborhood should have access to an unlighted multi-purpose field for practice for soccer, football, field hockey and lacrosse; there will be five (5) lighted multi-purpose athletic complexes throughout the City (within 3 miles of every residence); each community center will have a multi-purpose gymnasium; and we will have a central tournament-quality complex for soccer, baseball and football"
- How will this model accommodate changing trends, increasing and decreasing demand, etc?
- Based on this criteria, what is the vision for both recreational and competition athletic facilities?
- What is the appropriate role of privately owned and operated facilities?
- How can exist / proposed school, church, county and/or university facilities be integrated into the vision to maximize efficiency and minimize the need for new/ additional facilities?
- How can sports affiliate groups help with achieving the vision?

Charrette Product: A map and text illustrating and describing the City's future vision for its system of Athletic Facilities (show existing and proposed access and destinations on the base map)

AECOM

City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Visioning Workshop
SUB-SYSTEM VISION QUESTIONS

4. PROGRAMS & FESTIVALS (Lindsay Hart)
Potential stakeholders: City, County, private providers

- What programs should be available within the City of Lenexa, regardless of cost or provider?
- Which programs should be offered above cost (including indirect costs)? At cost? Below cost?
- Which program should be provided by the City? By the County and/or other public agency? By the private sector?
- What results are you experiencing with current programs? What programs have registration trending upward, what are trending downward, what does the success rate of programs look like?
- How do you account for changing demographics and change in consumer trends in your program offerings?
- What mechanisms do you have in place to provide consistent quality throughout your program offerings?
- Are there any festivals that should be discontinued?
- Are there new festivals, e.g. a spring festival, which should be considered?
- What are the guiding principles, objectives for the festivals? Who are they intended to serve?
- Are there economic goals and metrics that should be established for each festival?
- What improvements should be made to existing festivals? Format, dates, programs, food, activities, schedule, costs structure, etc?

Charrette Product: A Preliminary Program Guide, listing the programs to be offered within the City; the primary provider (City, County, league, private, other); and the approximate subsidy level or cost structure

Charrette Product: 2012 – 2017 Festival Schedule and Guide, including dates, format, content, schedule, fees, description, etc

AECOM

City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Visioning Workshop
SUB-SYSTEM VISION QUESTIONS

5. MAINTENANCE (Logan Wagler)

Potential stakeholders: City staff, others?

- What should the City's core maintenance responsibilities include, e.g. parks, recreation facilities, schools, medians, etc?
- What responsibilities should be delegated to others?
- What responsibilities could/ should be contracted to the private sector?
- What do you consider to be the most important maintenance processes?
- How can maintenance processes be improved?
- How can maintenance practices be more sustainable?
- How can maintenance costs be reduced ?
- What changes in equipment, staffing and operations would reduce maintenance costs?
- How should we assess customer satisfaction toward the quality of maintenance?

Charrette Product: Recommendations for improvements in maintenance, reduction in maintenance costs, including potential changes in staffing, contracting, equipment, operations and design standards

AECOM

City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Visioning Workshop
SUB-SYSTEM VISION QUESTIONS

6. **NATURE/ INTERPRETIVE CENTER AND PROGRAMS** (Nikki Guillot, Community Development Department)
   Potential stakeholders: City, County, others?

- What is this Vision for Environmental Education within the City? Facilities? Programs?
- What age groups should be targeted?
- What programs should be available within the City of Lenexa, regardless of cost or provider?
- Are there opportunities to partner with other agencies in offering these services?
- Which programs should be offered above cost (including indirect costs)? At cost? Below cost?
- Which program should be provided by the City? By the County and/or other public agency? By the private sector?

Charrette Product: A Preliminary Environmental Education Guide, listing the programs and facilities to be offered within the City; the primary provider (City, County, league, private, other); and the approximate subsidy level or cost structure

AECOM

City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Visioning Workshop
SUB-SYSTEM VISION QUESTIONS

7. ROLES AND PARTNERSHIPS (?)

Potential stakeholders: Senior City staff

Partnerships

- Who are your key current partners?
- How would you characterize the working relationships with your key partners?
- Are there written agreements that outline how the partnership works; is the Department adequately benefitting from each of these agreements?
- What process is in place to assess partnerships:, change/add/delete partners, continuously review agreements?
- What opportunities exist for future partnerships?

Departmental Role

- How would you define core roles/services of the Department?
- What is the preferred "core" role, mission of the City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Dept for each subsystem? Provider? Facilitator? Supporter? Other?
- What are the appropriate roles of other providers? County? Sports Leagues? Other providers?
- Does the Department have a capacity problem with staff labor resources to successfully manage partners
- Are the current roles and relationships consistent with the mission of the Department?
- How would this be expressed in a succinct and meaningful mission statement?

Charrette Product: Succinct Mission Statement; Vision for the Department; role(s) of City and partner providers

Long Range Vision

Conceptual graphic illustrating the scale of the 80,000 s.f. Matt Ross Center footprint and additional parking at Sar-Ko-Par Trails Park

AECOM
222 Clematis Street
Suite 200
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
www.aecom.com

561 659 6552 tel
561 833 1790 fax

Agenda

Subject	Implementation Workshop
Date	December 13, 2011
Time	8:30 am – 12:30 pm CST
Location	City of Lenexa
AECOM Project No.	60210952
Project Name	City of Lenexa Parks Recreation and Open Space Comprehensive Plan

Preliminary Agenda

1. Review Vision and Estimated Costs
2. Review Roles and Responsibilities to Implement the Vision
3. Review Available, Projected Funding (City to complete funding chart, attached)
4. Review Priority Needs from Needs Assessment
5. Determine 1,5, 10 Year Phasing of Priority Projects

This transmission is confidential and intended solely for the person or organization to whom it is addressed. It may contain privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, you should not copy, distribute or take any action in reliance on it.

Open Space Funding Worksheet (to be completed prior to the Workshop)

PAY AS YOU GO	1 YR	5 YRS	10 YRS	COMMENTS
City/ County CIP for Street, Park Improvements	**$0**	**$0**	**$0**	
Tax Increment Financing	**$0**	**$0**	**?**	Will generate eventually
Parks Impact Fees	**$34K**	**$170K**	**$340K**	Transportation Impact Fees for Trails?
Grants: local, state, federal	**?**	**?**	**?**	May need to hire grant writer?
Pavement Maintenance Program	**$50k**	**$250k**	**$500k**	
Local Sales Tax	**$3M**	**$15M**	**$30M**	3/8 cent; Parks receives 60%
Liquor Tax	**$180K**	**$900K**	**$1.8M**	

BORROWING	1 YR	5 YRS	10 YRS	COMMENTS
City/ County G.O. Bonds	**?**	**?**	**?**	
City/ County Revenue Bonds	**?**	**?**	**?**	Could bond sales tax
Revolving Loan Fund	**?**	**?**	**?**	

TOTAL PROJECTED FUNDING (AS OF FY 2011-2012)	1 YR	5 YRS	10 YRS	30 YRS
	$3.25 M	**$16.25 M**	**$32.5 M**	**$97.5 M**

(page intentionally left blank)

Artist's rendering of the proposed trailhead and utility-easement trail corridor at Heritage Forest Park

5. Appendices

Section 5.1 | Appendices

5.1 | Meeting Minutes and Additional Notes p. 209

5.2 | Sample Park Analysis Form p. 247

5.3 | Public Opinion Survey Questionnaire p. 261

5.4 | Public Opinion Survey Response Data p. 273

5.5 | US Census and Demographic Data p. 295

5.6 | Grant Funding Descriptions/Details p. 313

(page intentionally left blank)

Lenexa Parks, Recreation, & Open Space Comprehensive Plan
Site Analysis – March 2011

PARK NAME: **TOTAL SCORE:**

Location: **Classification:**

Adjacent Land uses: % programmed
Architectural Component: Y / N

Park Components:

Baseball	*Basketball*	*Boat Ramp*
Canoe/Kayak	*Community Ctr*	*Dog Park*
Exercise/Walking Path	*Fishing*	*Football*
Gymnasium	*Multi-purpose Field*	*Multi-purpose Room*
Parking	*Picnic Shelter/Pavilion*	*Playground*
Pool – Indoor	*Pool – Outdoor*	*Recreation Ctr*
Restrooms	*Skate Park*	*Soccer*
Softball	*Tennis*	*Trail – Multi-use*
Trail – Nature/Hiking	*Volleyball*	Clubhouse
Concession Stand	*Grandstand/Bleachers*	*Boathouse*
*Other*_____		

Summary of Observations:

Evidence of Design Standards? Y N
Evidence of Maintenance Standards? Y N
Revenue Opportunities? low opportunity / potential / high potential
List Opportunities:

Partnership Opportunities low opportunity / potential / high potential
List Opportunities:

Environmental Opportunities low opportunity / potential / high potential
List Opportunities:

PAGE TOTAL:_____

PROXIMITY/ACCESS/LINKAGES

Visibility from a distance
(**1** being poor visibility to the interior of the park from the surrounding neighborhood due to man-made structures or natural feature that obstruct views into the park versus **5** being able to clearly see into the park from the surrounding neighborhood)

1 2 3 4 5

Ease in walking to the park
(**1** being poor access to the park from the surrounding neighborhood due to disconnected sidewalks, lack of shade trees, unmarked pedestrian street crossings on fast, wide streets, and single sided park frontage onto the street versus **5** being ADA accessible access on wide shaded sidewalks that lead to the park, pedestrian-timed street crossings on narrow streets that lead to an interconnected park sidewalk network, multiple side of the park face the street)

1 2 3 4 5

Transit Access
(**1** being a transit stop located within ¼ mile of the park versus **5** being directional and orientational signage that directs park users to an easily accessible transit stop within ¼ mile with comfortable and sheltered seating area or (depending on the size and function of the park) a highly visible and easily accessible transit stop located on park property with bike racks, directional and orientational signage, pedestrian comfort stations, and comfortable and sheltered seating area)

1 2 3 4 5

Clarity of information/signage
(**1** being the mere presence of gateway signage and regulatory signage versus **5** being a hierarchy of signage (gateway, location map (depending on the complexity of the park), identification, regulatory, directional, educational, etc.) that is clear, legible and well-maintained.

1 2 3 4 5

PAGE TOTAL:_____

ADA Compliance
(**1** being the park appears to be generally *inaccessible* due to a lack of appropriate ramps, equitable distribution of facilities, level paving, etc. and does not appear to easily usable by someone with special needs. **(5** being the majority of the park shows evidence that it is intent is to be accessible and would allow equitable use of people with all needs/abilities.

1 2 3 4 5

Lighting
(is the park appropriately lit for its typology? **1** being the park is not appropriately lit, **5** being the park is well it, and the fixtures present are energy efficient and contribute to the overall aesthetic)

1 2 3 4 5

COMMENTS:

PAGE TOTAL:_____

COMFORT & IMAGE

First impression/overall attractiveness
(**1** being a park that is perceived to be uninviting, unsafe, abandoned, dilapidated and unmaintained versus **5** being a park that is perceived to be inviting, safe, and impeccably maintained)

1 2 3 4 5

Feeling of safety
(**1** being surroundings that induce a feeling of danger due to the obstruction of natural surveillance and eyes on the park, extreme pedestrian access control (high fences, single access point) lack of territorial enforcement and sense of ownership, and the appearance of abandonment versus **5** being surroundings that evoke a feeling of safety and security through the promotion of eyes on the park, selectively placed entry and exit points, short and least sight-limiting fencing, spaces that promote proprietary concern, and well maintained spaces)

1 2 3 4 5

Cleanliness/overall quality of maintenance
(**1** being unclean and damaged structures, recreational facilities, pavements, furnishings, and other hardscapes; dying, damaged and unmaintained landscaping, and the presence of litter, versus **5** impeccably maintained structures, recreational facilities, pavements, furnishings and other hardscapes; healthy, vibrant, and well maintained landscaping, and no litter)

1 2 3 4 5

Comfort of places to sit
(**1** being, uninviting, damaged, dirty, and sensorially unpleasant versus **5** being inviting, neat, clean, and sensorially pleasant)

1 2 3 4 5

PAGE TOTAL:_____

Protection from bad weather
(**1** being the presence of a covered structure (depending on the complexity of the park, at least one) that does not offer complete protection from the elements and is difficult to get to versus **5** being a covered structure (depending on the complexity of the park, several structures strategically placed) that offer complete protection from the elements and are easily accessible)

1 2 3 4 5

Evidence of management/stewardship
(**1** being an abandoned appearance (unmaintained landscaping, deteriorating structures and hardscape, presence of litter) versus **5** being a cared for appearance (impeccably maintained landscaping, hardscapes, and structures, and no litter)

1 2 3 4 5

COMMENTS:

PAGE TOTAL:_____

USES AND ACTIVITIES & SOCIABILITY

Mix of uses/things to do
(**1** being single use park that can only be used in specific weather conditions versus **5** being a park that offers activities for a variety of users (children, adults, and elderly) at all times during the day)

1 2 3 4 5

Level of activity
(**1** being a few people using the park at a single time period versus **5** being a variety of people of different age groups using the park at all times during the day)

1 2 3 4 5

Sense of pride/ownership
(**1** being litter, vandalism and miss-use of facilities, lack of use, and lack of maintenance and up-keep versus **5** being an actively used park, voluntarism, "patrolling" users," signs of care, maintenance and up-keep)

1 2 3 4 5

Programming Flexibility
(**1** being inflexible limited use due to topography, size, access, physical limitations, and single season versus **5** being most flexible, large range of options due to support system, shelter and water etc. for multi uses, flexible topography, open space)

1 2 3 4 5

Comments

PAGE TOTAL:_____

ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

Stormwater Management
(**1** being drainage system that discharges water from the site without any intermediate retention or treatment, large amount of impervious surfaces versus, evidence of surface contaminate/pollution, **5** being a system that successfully incorporates the reuse of stormwater where feasible and treats as much water on site as possible through the means of retention/detention, bio-swales, wetlands, pervious paving, green roofs, and the like.

1 2 3 4 5

Energy Efficiency
(**1** being no evidence of energy efficient appliances, lighting, building fixtures, or sources of alternative energy versus **5** being evidence of the incorporation of EE light fixtures, bulbs, appliances, and alterative energy generation, where feasible)

1 2 3 4 5

Resource Demand
(**1** being a clear lack of an on-site materials recycling effort, predominance of high maintenance, non-native landscaping, use of non-renewable resources versus **5** being the embracing of on-site materials recycling, use of recycled/renewable construction materials, native landscape planting)

1 2 3 4 5

Multi-modal Capacity
(**1** being a poorly connected park that relies solely on automobile access versus **5** being a park that facilitates the use of alternative modes of transportation through the provisions of bicycle and adjacent mass-transit facilities as well as interconnected pedestrian access routes to and within the park)

1 2 3 4 5

Comments:

PAGE TOTAL:_____

BUILDINGS / ARCHITECTURE

Structural Integrity
(**1** being dangerous structural conditions as determined by visual observation versus **5** being no visible evidence of loss of integrity of any structural members)

1 2 3 4 5

Building Enclosure
(**1** being visibly obvious openings or breaches in exterior roof or walls versus **5** being no evidence of need for repair of components of the building enclosure and all materials are maintained with weatherproof finishes)

1 2 3 4 5

Image and Aesthetics
(**1** being a building which is visually unpleasing or detracts from the surrounding park setting versus **5** being a building which has pleasing proportions and materials, and which contributes to the context of the park setting)

1 2 3 4 5

Interior Layout and Finishes
(**1** being an interior layout which is confusing or inefficient, or finishes which are worn, damaged or out of date, versus **5** being a well organized interior layout, with finishes that are undamaged, well maintained, and aesthetically pleasing)

1 2 3 4 5

Building Systems
(**1** being building mechanical, electrical or plumbing systems are in obvious visual need of repair versus **5** being that all mEP systems are observed to be in operating order and well maintained)

1 2 3 4 5

PAGE TOTAL:_____

Code Compliance
(**1** being that the structure has visually obvious code compliance issues such as ADA accessibility, exiting requirements, or fire protection requirements, versus **5** being visual evidence that all building components meet local building codes)

1 2 3 4 5

Energy and Sustainability
(**1** being that the building has deficiencies in insulation, energy efficient mechanical systems, or use of sustainable materials, versus **5** being visual evidence that building components appear to be energy efficient and have appropriate use of sustainable materials or systems)

1 2 3 4 5

COMMENTS:

PAGE TOTAL:_____

RESIDENT/USER QUESTIONS (if available)

Frequency of neighborhood/ community events/activities

Who is using the park?

How many different types of activities are occurring - people walking, eating, playing baseball, chess, relaxing, reading?

Which parts of the space are used and which are not?

GENERAL COMMENTS:

PAGE TOTAL:_____

(page intentionally left blank)

Artist's rendering of the proposed trailhead and utility-easement trail corridor at Heritage Forest Park

5. Appendices

Section 5.1 | Appendices

5.1 | Meeting Minutes and Additional Notes p. 209

5.2 | Sample Park Analysis Form p. 247

5.3 | Public Opinion Survey Questionnaire p. 261

5.4 | Public Opinion Survey Response Data p. 273

5.5 | US Census and Demographic Data p. 295

5.6 | Grant Funding Descriptions/Details p. 313

(page intentionally left blank)

Lenexa
KANSAS

A Few Minutes of Your Time Will Help Make the City of Lenexa a Better Place to Live, Work and Play!

Dear City of Lenexa Resident:

Your response to the enclosed survey is extremely important…
The City of Lenexa is conducting a Community Attitude and Interest Survey to help establish priorities for the future development of parks and recreation facilities, programs and services within the community. Your household was one of a limited number selected at random to receive this survey, therefore it is very important that you participate.

We appreciate your time…
We realize that this survey will take approximately 10 minutes to complete, but each question is important. The time you invest in completing this survey will aid the City of Lenexa in taking a resident-driven approach to making decisions that will enrich the future of our community and positively affect the lives of its residents.

Please complete and return your survey within the next two weeks…
We have selected Leisure Vision/ETC Institute, an independent consulting company, as our partner to administer this survey. They will compile the data received and present the results to the City. **Your responses will remain confidential.** Please return your completed survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope addressed to ETC Institute, 725 W. Frontier Circle, Olathe, KS 66061.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Gary Ristow in the City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Department at gjr@ci.lenexa.ks.us or at 477-7100. The Community Attitude and Interest Survey is a tool that will benefit all City of Lenexa residents. Please take this opportunity to let your voice be heard!

Sincerely,

Michael A. Boehm, Mayor
City of Lenexa

City of Lenexa / 12350 West 87th Street Parkway / Lenexa, Kansas 66215-2882
City of Lenexa / P.O. Box 14888 / Lenexa, Kansas 66285-4888
Telephone 913-477-7500 City Hall / Fax 913-477-7504

Community Interest and Opinion Survey: ***Let your voice be heard today!***

The City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Department would like your input to help determine parks, trails, open space and recreation priorities for our community. <u>This survey will take 10-15 minutes to complete</u>. When you are finished, please return your survey in the enclosed postage-paid, return-reply envelope. We greatly appreciate your time and efforts to improve the quality of life in the City of Lenexa.

1. **From the following list, please check ALL the City of Lenexa parks and trail sites you or members of your household have visited over the past 12 months.**

____(01) Ad Astra Park
____(02) Sar-Ko-Par Trails Park
____(03) Black Hoof Park
____(04) Bois D'Arc Park
____(05) Buffalo Meadows Park
____(06) Cedar Station/Mize Lake Park
____(07) Craig Crossing
____(08) Electric Park
____(09) Flat Rock Creek Park
____(10) Freedom Fields
____(11) Little Mill Creek Park
____(12) Matt Taylor Park
____(13) Neighborhood Parks
____(14) None, we do not use any parks or trails

2. **Which THREE of the parks and trails listed in Question #1 do you and members of your household visit the most often?** [Please write in the numbers below for your 1st, 2nd, and 3rd choices using the numbers in Question #1 above, or circle NONE.]

_____	_____	_____	
1st Most Often	2nd Most Often	3rd Most Often	NONE

3. **From the following list, please check ALL the City of Lenexa parks and recreation sites you or members of your household have used or visited over the past 12 months.**

____(01) Walking and hiking trails
____(02) Nature trails
____(03) Tennis courts
____(04) Basketball courts
____(05) Softball fields
____(06) Baseball fields
____(07) Soccer fields
____(08) Skate Park
____(09) Picnic shelters
____(10) Winter sledding hill
____(11) Sand volleyball courts
____(12) Gazebos
____(13) Community gardens
____(14) Ponds/lakes for fishing and boating
____(15) Outdoor pools
____(16) Open play areas
____(17) Playgrounds
____(18) Horseshoe pits
____(19) Natural areas
____(20) Outdoor skating rink

4. **Which FOUR of the parks and recreation sites listed in Question #3 do you and members of your household visit the most often?** [Please write in the numbers below for your 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th choices, or circle 'NONE]

_____	_____	_____	_____	
1st Most Often	2nd Most Often	3rd Most Often	4th Most Often	NONE

5. **Overall how would you rate the physical condition of the parks and recreation sites in the City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Department you have visited?**

____ (1) Excellent
____ (2) Good
____ (3) Fair
____ (4) Poor
____ (5) Don't know

6. Please indicate how often you and members of your household have used each of the following major facilities operated by the Lenexa Parks and Recreation Department during the past 12 months by circling the appropriate number to the right of each facility.

Times your household used facility during past 12 months	Never	1-9 times	10-24 times	25-49 times	50+ times
(A) Ad Astra Pool	1	2	3	4	5
(B) Indian Trails Aquatic Center (Sar Ko-Par Trails Park)	1	2	3	4	5
(C) Flat Rock Creek Pool	1	2	3	4	5
(D) Lenexa Community Center	1	2	3	4	5
(E) Lenexa Senior Center	1	2	3	4	5
(F) Lenexa Conference Center	1	2	3	4	5
(G) Lenexa National Guard Armory	1	2	3	4	5
(H) Legler Barn Museum	1	2	3	4	5

7. How would you rate the overall quality of the major aquatics, outdoor and indoor recreation and sports facilities listed in Question #6 above that you and members of your household have used during the past 12 months?

____ (1) Excellent ____ (3) Fair ____ (5) Don't know
____ (2) Good ____ (4) Poor

8. From the following list, please check ALL the organizations and facilities that you and members of your household have used for parks and recreation activities during the last 12 months.

____(01) Private schools
____(02) Public schools
____(03) Youth sports associations
____(04) Shawnee Mission Park
____(05) Lenexa Parks and Recreation
____(06) Element Fitness
____(07) 68's Inside Sports
____(08) Lifetime Fitness
____(09) Matt Ross Community Center
____(10) Churches
____(11) HealthRidge Fitness Center
____(12) Other private fitness centers
____(13) Neighboring communities
____(14) Johnson County Parks and Recreation District
____(15) Country clubs
____(16) 3&2 Baseball of Johnson County
____(17) YMCA
____(18) Sylvester Powell Community Center
____(19) Other: _____

9. For each of the age groups shown below, please indicate which organizations listed in Question #8 you and your household USED THE MOST for parks and recreation activities during the last 12 months. [Use the number by each organization in Question #8. If there is no one in your household in the age group, check NONE in the space provided below.]

	Agency Used Most in Question #8	NONE in household this age
Ages 0 - 11 years	_____	_____
Ages 12 - 17 years	_____	_____
Ages 18 - 54 years	_____	_____
Ages 55 years and older	_____	_____

10. Please indicate if <u>YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD</u> has a need for each of the parks and recreational facilities listed below by circling the YES or NO next to the park/facility.

If YES, please rate ALL the following parks and recreation FACILITIES of this type that are available to you or any members of your household on a scale of 5 to 1, where 5 means "100% Meets Needs" and 1 means "0% Meets Needs" of your household.

Type of Facility		Do You Have a Need for this Facility?		If YES You Have a Need, How Well Are Your Needs Being Met?				
		Yes	No	100% Met	75% Met	50% Met	25% Met	0% Met
A.	Walking, jogging, and nature trails	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
B.	Bicycle trails for commuting	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
C.	Bicycle lanes on streets	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
D.	Mountain bike/dirt bike trails	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
E.	Soccer fields	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
F.	Youth baseball and softball fields	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
G.	Adult softball fields	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
H.	Nature center	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
I.	Outdoor challenge course	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
J.	Playgrounds	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
K.	Picnic shelters	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
L.	Outdoor amphitheater	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
M.	Outdoor swimming pools/water parks	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
N.	Spray/splash park	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
O.	Disc golf course	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
P.	Farmers' market	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
Q.	Community gardens	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
R.	Golf course	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
S.	Tennis courts	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
T.	Basketball courts	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
U.	Small neighborhood parks	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
V.	Large community parks	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
W.	Dog parks	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
X.	Skate parks	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
Y.	Indoor amphitheater	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Indoor pool	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
2.	Indoor jogging track	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
3.	Indoor fitness areas	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1

11. Which FOUR of the facilities from the list in Question #10 above are *most important* for the City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Department to provide for your household? [Using the letters and numbers in the left hand column of Question #10 above, please write in the letters or numbers below for your 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th choices, or circle 'NONE'.]

1st: _____ 2nd:_____ 3rd: _____ 4th: _____ NONE

12. Please indicate if <u>YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD</u> has a need for each of the aquatics, sports recreation, and cultural programs listed below by circling the YES or NO next to the recreation program.

If YES, please rate the following recreation PROGRAMS on a scale of 5 to 1, where 5 means "100% Meets Needs" and 1 means "0% Meets Needs" of your household.

Type of Program	Do You Have a Need for this Program?		If YES You Have a Need, How Well Are Your Needs Being Met?				
	Yes	No	100% Met	75% Met	50% Met	25% Met	0% Met
A. Preschool programs	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
B. Youth art, music, dance, or theater	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
C. Youth enrichment/social development	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
D. Birthday parties	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
E. Community special events	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
F. Senior adult programs	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
G. Swim lessons	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
H. Adult water fitness programs	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
I. Adult fitness classes	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
J. Adult art, music, dance, or theater	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
K. Adult sports leagues	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
L. Youths sports leagues	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
M. Youth fitness classes	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
N. Nature programs/environmental education	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
O. Fishing and boating programs	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
P. Community gardening	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
Q. Volunteer opportunities	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
R. History programs	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
S. Travel programs	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
T. Programs for pets and owners	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
U. Wellness screening	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
V. Enrichment classes (sewing, cooking, etc.)	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
W. Programs for people with special needs	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
X. After school programs	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
Y. Summer camps	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
1. Transportation services for adults over 65	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
2. Daily meals for adults 65 and older	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1
3. Live concert band performances	Yes	No	5	4	3	2	1

13. Which FOUR of the programs from the list in Question #12 above are *most important* to your household? [Using the letters and numbers in Question #12 above, please write in the letters and numbers below for your 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th choices, or circle 'NONE'.]

1st: _____ 2nd:_____ 3rd: _____ 4th: _____ NONE

14. The following are Special Events provided by the Lenexa Parks and Recreation Department. Please indicate if <u>YOU or any member of your HOUSEHOLD</u> have attended any of the following special events during the past TWO YEARS listed below by circling the YES or NO next to the special event If YES, please indicate your level of satisfaction with the event by circling the corresponding number to the right of the special event.

Name of Special Event	Have you attended this Special Event in the past 2 years?		<u>If YES You have attended, how satisfied were you with the Special Event</u>?			
	Yes	No	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	issatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
A. Community Days Parade (July 4)	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
B. Cupid's Gems Jewelry Show	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
C. Enchanted Forest/Pumpkin Carving	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
D. Freedom Run	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
E. Great Lenexa BBQ Battle	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
F. May is Music Month Performances	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
G. Midnight Bike and Hike	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
H. Old Town Lighting Ceremony	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
I. Spinach Festival	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
J. Tails on the Trails Pet Festival	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
K. Waterfest	Yes	No	4	4	2	1
L. Chili Challenge	Yes	No	4	4	2	1

15. In May 2008, the citizens of Lenexa passed a 3/8 cent sales tax which is used for investing in road maintenance, park facility upgrades, and development of a new Civic Center/Community Center. From the following list, please check ALL the potential indoor programming spaces you and members of your household would use in a new Civic Center/Community Center

____ (01) Walking and jogging track
____ (02) Arts and crafts room
____ (03) Aerobics/fitness/dance space
____ (04) Weight room/cardiovascular area
____ (05) Lanes for lap swimming
____ (06) 25 meter competition pool
____ (07) Leisure pool (water slides, sprays, etc.)
____ (08) Warm water for therapeutic purposes
____ (09) Multi-use classroom space
____ (10) Senior citizen area
____ (11) Teen area
____ (12) Preschool program space
____ (13) Rock climbing/bouldering wall
____ (14) Racquetball/handball courts
____ (15) Space for meetings, parties, banquets
____ (16) Multi-court gymnasium/field house
____ (17) Other: _____

16. Which FOUR of the indoor spaces from the list in Question #15 above would you or members of your household use the MOST OFTEN? [Using the numbers in Question #15 above, please write in the numbers below for your 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th choices, or circle 'NONE.']

1st:____ 2nd:____ 3rd:____ 4th:____ NONE

17. Following are major actions that the City of Lenexa could take to improve the Parks and Recreation system. Please indicate whether you would be very supportive, somewhat supportive, or not supportive of each action by circling the number next to the action.

How supportive are you of having the City of Lenexa:	Very Supportive	Somewhat Supportive	Not Sure	Not Supportive
(A) Acquire open space for passive activities, i.e. trails, picnicking, etc.	1	2	3	4
(B) Acquire open space for active activities, i.e. developing soccer, baseball, softball fields, etc.	1	2	3	4
(C) Upgrade existing neighborhood and community parks	1	2	3	4
(D) Upgrade existing Community Center	1	2	3	4
(E) Upgrade existing Senior Center	1	2	3	4
(F) Upgrade existing outdoor pools	1	2	3	4
(G) Upgrade existing youth/adult athletic fields	1	2	3	4
(H) Develop a dog park	1	2	3	4
(I) Develop a new outdoor swimming pool	1	2	3	4
(J) Develop new walking/biking trails and connect existing trails	1	2	3	4
(K) Develop new youth sports fields, i.e. baseball, soccer, etc.	1	2	3	4
(L) Develop new special events rental facilities	1	2	3	4
(M) Develop a new Community Center/Civic Center (gyms, fitness space, pool, etc.)	1	2	3	4
(N) Develop a new senior center	1	2	3	4
(O) Develop new farmers market pavilion	1	2	3	4
(P) Develop a new nature center	1	2	3	4
(Q) Develop a new challenge course	1	2	3	4
(R) Other: _____	1	2	3	4

18. Which FOUR of the major actions from the list in Question #17 above are *most important* to your household? [Using the letters in Question #17 above, please write in the letters below for your 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th choices, or circle 'NONE'.]

1st: _____ 2nd:_____ 3rd: _____ 4th: _____ NONE

19. If an additional $100 were available for City of Lenexa <u>parks, trails, sports, and recreation facilities</u>, how would you allocate the funds among the categories of funding listed below? [Please be sure your total adds up to $100.]

$_____ Improvements/maintenance of existing parks, pools, sports, and recreation facilities

$_____ Development of new Civic Center/Community Center

$_____ Acquisition of new park land and open space

$_____ Construction of new sports fields (softball, soccer, baseball, etc.)

$_____ Acquisition and development of walking and biking trails

$_____ Other: _____

$ 100 TOTAL

<u>Demographics</u>

20. Counting yourself, how many people in your household are?

Under 5 years_____	15 - 19 years _____	35 - 44 years _____	65+ years ____
5 - 9 years _____	20 - 24 years _____	45 - 54 years _____	
10 - 14 years _____	25 - 34 years _____	55 - 64 years _____	

21. What is your age? _____

22. Your gender: ____(1) Male ____(2) Female

23. How many members of your household are registered voters? _____

24. How many years have you lived in the City of Lenexa? _____

25. Please share any additional comments that could assist the City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Department in improving parks, trails, open space or recreational facilities and services.

_____

_____

_____

This concludes the survey. Thank you for your time.

Please Return Your Completed Survey in the Enclosed Return-Reply Envelope Addressed to:
ETC Institute, 725 W. Frontier Circle, Olathe, KS 66061

Your response will remain Completely Confidential.
The address information on the sticker to the right will
ONLY be used to help identify areas with special interests.

(page intentionally left blank)

Artist's rendering of the proposed trailhead and utility-easement trail corridor at Heritage Forest Park

5. Appendices

Section 5.1 | Appendices

5.1 | Meeting Minutes and Additional Notes p. 209

5.2 | Sample Park Analysis Form p. 247

5.3 | Public Opinion Survey Questionnaire p. 261

5.4 | Public Opinion Survey Response Data p. 273

5.5 | US Census and Demographic Data p. 295

5.6 | Grant Funding Descriptions/Details p. 313

(page intentionally left blank)

Parks and Recreation Needs Assessment Survey

Overview of the Methodology

Leisure Vision conducted a Parks and Recreation Needs Assessment Survey on behalf of the City of Lenexa during August and September of 2011. The purpose of the survey was to establish priorities for the future development of parks and recreation facilities, programs and services within the community. The survey was designed to obtain statistically valid results from households throughout the City of Lenexa. The survey was administered by a combination of mail and phone.

Leisure Vision worked extensively with City of Lenexa officials in the development of the survey questionnaire. This work allowed the survey to be tailored to issues of strategic importance to effectively plan the future system.

In August, a seven-page survey was mailed to a random sample of 4,000 households throughout the City of Lenexa. Approximately three days after the surveys were mailed each household that received a survey also received an automated voice message encouraging them to complete the survey. In addition, about two weeks after the surveys were mailed Leisure Vision began contacting households by phone. Those who indicated they had not returned the survey were given the option of completing it by phone.

The goal was to obtain a total of at least 800 completed surveys from City of Lenexa households. This goal was far exceeded, with a total of 1,056 surveys having been completed. The results of the random sample of 1,056 households have a 95% level of confidence with a precision of at least +/-3.0%.

The following pages summarize major survey findings.

Q1. City of Lenexa Parks and Trail Sites That Households Have Visited Over the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents (multiple choices could be made)



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q2. City of Lenexa Parks and Trail Sites That Households Visit Most Often

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top three choices



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q3. City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Sites That Households Have Used or Visited Over the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents (multiple choices could be made)



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q4. City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Sites That Households Have Used or Visited Most Often Over the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top three choices



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q5. How Would You Rate the Physical Condition of the Parks and Recreation Sites in the City of Lenexa Parks and Recreation Department You Have Visited?

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q6. How Often Households Have Used Various Facilities Operated by the Lenexa Parks and Recreation Department During the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q7. How Would You Rate the Overall Quality of the Major Aquatics, Outdoor and Indoor Recreation and Sports Facilities That Households Have Used During the Past 12 Months?

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q8. Organizations and Facilities Used for Parks and Recreation Activities During the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents (multiple choices could be made)



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q9. Organization That Household Members Age 11 and Under Have Used the Most for Parks and Recreation Activities During the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q9. Organization That Household Members Age 12 to 17 Have Used the Most for Parks and Recreation Activities During the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q9. Organization That Household Members Age 18 to 54 Have Used the Most for Parks and Recreation Activities During the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q9. Organization That Household Members Age 55 and Older Have Used the Most for Parks and Recreation Activities During the Past 12 Months

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q10. Households That Have a Need for Various Parks and Recreation Facilities

by percentage of respondents (multiple choices could be made)



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q10a. Estimated Number of Households in City of Lenexa That Have a Need for Various Parks and Recreation Facilities

by number of households based on 17,471 households in Lenexa



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)


Q10b. How Well Parks and Recreation Facilities in City of Lenexa Meet the Needs of Households
by percentage of respondents with a need for parks/facilities
Walking, jogging, and nature trails 62% 28% 7%
Picnic shelters 57% 32% 8%
Large community parks 60% 29% 7%
Playgrounds 56% 31% 11%
Small neighborhood parks 53% 29% 11% 5%
Outdoor swimming pools/water parks 49% 29% 12% 6%
Youth baseball and softball fields 51% 27% 15% 5%
Tennis courts 46% 30% 17% 7%
Outdoor amphitheater 45% 24% 13% 7% 12%
Skate parks 49% 19% 16% 10% 6%
Dog parks 44% 24% 15% 8% 10%
Golf course 39% 28% 18% 6% 9%
Basketball courts 37% 29% 20% 11% 4%
Soccer fields 36% 28% 21% 12% 3%
Nature center 29% 23% 24% 13% 10%
Adult softball fields 29% 23% 24% 13% 11%
Disc golf course 26% 24% 23% 8% 19%
Bicycle trails for commuting 26% 23% 16% 18% 17%
Mountain bike/dirt bike trails 20% 27% 25% 20% 8%
Indoor fitness areas 34% 12% 14% 12% 27%
Community gardens 19% 22% 22% 19% 18%
Spray/splash park 21% 20% 15% 18% 26%
Farmers' market 14% 16% 23% 15% 32%
Outdoor challenge course 15% 12% 17% 21% 35%
Indoor pool 21% 6% 13% 15% 46%
Indoor jogging track 16% 5% 15% 19% 47%
Indoor amphitheater 12% 7% 19% 20% 41%
Bicycle lanes on streets 6% 11% 21% 28% 34%
0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%
100% Meets Needs 75% Meets Needs 50% Meets Needs 25% Meets Needs 0% Meets Needs
Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)


Q10c. Estimated Number of Households in City of Lenexa Whose Needs for Parks and Recreation Facilities Are Only Being 50% Met or Less
by number of households based on 17,471 households in Lenexa
Farmers' market 7,393
Indoor pool 4,680
Bicycle lanes on streets 4,265
Indoor fitness areas 4,082
Indoor jogging track 8,851
Community gardens 2,668
Spray/splash park 2,544
Nature center 2,542
Outdoor swimming pools/water parks 1,887
Bicycle trails for commuting 1,871
Outdoor challenge course 1,870
Dog parks 1,822
Small neighborhood parks 1,783
Golf course 1,665
Mountain bike/dirt bike trails 1,607
Outdoor amphitheater 1,603
Indoor amphitheater 1,581
Walking, jogging, and nature trails 1,511
Disc golf course 1,380
Soccer fields 1,313
Basketball courts 1,186
Large community parks 1,185
Picnic shelters 1,090
Tennis courts 1,048
Playgrounds 1,003
Youth baseball and softball fields 788
Adult softball fields 775
Skate parks 406
0 2,000 4,000 6,000 8,000
50% Meets Needs 25% Meet Needs 0% Meets Needs
Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q11. Parks and Recreation Facilities That Are Most Important for the City of Lenexa to Provide to Households

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q12. Households That Have a Need for Various Aquatic, Sports Recreation, and Cultural Programs

by percentage of respondents (multiple choices could be made)



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q12a. Estimated Number of Households in City of Lenexa That Have a Need for Various Aquatic, Sports Recreation, and Cultural Programs

by number of households based on 17,471 households in Lenexa



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q12b. How Well Aquatic, Sports Recreation, and Cultural Programs in City of Lenexa Meet the Needs of Households

by percentage of respondents with a need for programs



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q12c. Estimated Number of Households in City of Lenexa Whose Needs for Aquatic, Sports Recreation, and Cultural Programs Are Only Being 50% Met or Less

by number of households based on 17,471 households in Lenexa



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q13. Aquatic, Sports Recreation, and Cultural Programs That Are Most Important to Households

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q14. Special Events That Households Have Attended in the Past 2 Years

by percentage of respondents (multiple choices could be made)



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q14b. Level of Satisfaction with Special Events Attended in the Past 2 Years

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q15. Potential Indoor Programming Spaces That Households Would Use in a New Civic Center/Community Center

by percentage of respondents (multiple choices could be made)



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q16. Potential Indoor Programming Spaces That Households Would Use Most Often in a New Civic Center/Community Center

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q17. Level of Support for Various Actions the City of Lenexa Could Take to Improve the Parks and Recreation System

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q18. Actions the City of Lenexa Could Take That Are Most Important to Their Household

by percentage of respondents who selected the item as one of their top four choices



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q19. Allocation of $100 Among Various City of Lenexa Parks, Trails, Sports and Recreation Facilities

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q20. Demographics: Ages of People in Household

by percentage of household occupants



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q21. Demographics: Age of Respondents

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q22. Demographics: Gender

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q23. Demographics: Number of Registered Voters

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

Q24. Demographics: Number of Years Lived in the City of Lenexa

by percentage of respondents



Source: Leisure Vision/ETC Institute (October 2011)

(page intentionally left blank)

Artist's rendering of the proposed trailhead and utility-easement trail corridor at Heritage Forest Park

5. Appendices

Section 5.1 | Appendices

5.1 | Meeting Minutes and Additional Notes p. 209

5.2 | Sample Park Analysis Form p. 247

5.3 | Public Opinion Survey Questionnaire p. 261

5.4 | Public Opinion Survey Response Data p. 273

5.5 | US Census and Demographic Data p. 295

5.6 | Grant Funding Descriptions/Details p. 313

(page intentionally left blank)

02 Suburban Splendor

Segment Code 02
Segment Name .....*Suburban Splendor*
LifeMode Summary Group .....L1 *High Society*
Urbanization Summary Group ....U7 *Suburban Periphery I*

Demographic

Suburban Splendor residents are families who live in growing suburban neighborhoods. Married couple families with and without children comprise 8 in 10 of these households. Household growth in these suburbs is 2 percent annually. The median age is 41.6 years, and half of the population is aged 35–64 years. These low-diversity neighborhoods are predominantly white.

Socioeconomic

These successful suburbanites are the epitome of upward mobility, just a couple of rungs below *Top Rung* in affluence. *Suburban Splendor* residents have a median household income of $121,661 and a median net worth of $621,888. The wealth of *Suburban Splendor* residents is more than double that of the US median. Labor force participation rates are high for both men and women; many households are two income. Most employed residents work in management, professional positions, and sales. They supplement their salaries with income from interest, dividends, and rental property at a rate much higher than the national level. Well educated, more than half the population aged 25 years and older hold a bachelor's or graduate degree.

Residential

Sharing the lead with *Top Rung* for home ownership at 91 percent, *Suburban Splendor* neighborhoods are located in metropolitan areas throughout the United States. Their large, luxurious homes have a median home value of $388,380. Located in growing neighborhoods, 60 percent of the houses are relatively new, built after 1979. Because two-income households commonly require multiple vehicles, it is not surprising that 85 percent of these households own two or more vehicles.

Preferences

Hot tubs, espresso machines, granite countertops, and the latest interior design amenities are featured in *Suburban Splendor* homes. A main focus is home improvement and remodeling projects done mostly by contractors, although residents will tackle interior painting jobs. They own a wide array of electric tools that they may or may not use regularly. Residents hire a lawn maintenance service to cut the grass but like to plant their own shrubs and trees; treat their lawn with fertilizer, weed control, or insecticide; and sow grass seed. They have all the latest electronic gadgets including digital camcorders, video game systems, projection screen TVs, and numerous cell phones. This market prefers to own or lease a minivan or full-size SUV and is one of the top markets for owning or leasing a luxury car.

They devote free time to family; travel; and self-improvement pursuits such as physical fitness, reading, visiting museums, and attending the theater. They keep fit by working out weekly at a club or exercising on a treadmill or stationary bike at home in addition to skiing, ice skating, playing tennis and golf, and bicycling. They read the newspaper, books, and magazines (particularly epicurean, airline, travel, business, finance, and boating). Because they travel extensively in the United States and overseas for business and pleasure, they rack up the miles in frequent flyer programs. A favorite hobby is furniture refinishing. When listening to the radio, they prefer classical music as well as all-news, all-talk, news/talk, and sports programs.

Suburban Splendor residents are members of business clubs and are active investors, using the Internet to track and trade their stocks, bonds, and funds. They hold home equity credit lines, consult with financial planners, use stock rating services, and own life insurance policies valued at approximately $500,000. They shop at upscale retailers, home stores, and wholesalers. They order items over the phone and shop online for airline tickets, flowers, and computer equipment.

07 Exurbanites

Segment Code 07
Segment Name .....*Exurbanites*
LifeMode Summary Group .....L1 *High Society*
Urbanization Summary Group ....U7 *Suburban Periphery I*

Demographic

Exurbanites residents prefer an affluent lifestyle in open spaces beyond the urban fringe. Although 40 percent are empty nesters, another 32 percent are married couples with children still living at home. Half of the householders are aged between 45 and 64 years. They may be part of the "sandwich generation," because their median age of 45.5 years places them directly between paying for children's college expenses and caring for elderly parents. To understand this segment, the lifestage is as important as the lifestyle. There is little ethnic diversity; most residents are white.

Socioeconomic

The 65.2 percent labor force participation rate is slightly higher than the US rate of 62.4 percent. Approximately half work in substantive professional or management positions. These residents are educated; more than 40 percent of the population aged 25 years and older hold a bachelor's or graduate degree; approximately three in four have attended college. The median net worth is $368,532, approximately four times the national figure. The median household income is $84,522. More than 20 percent earn retirement income; another 57 percent receive additional income from investments.

Residential

Although *Exurbanites* neighborhoods are growing by 1.61 percent annually, they are not the newest areas. Recent construction comprises only 22 percent of the housing. Seventy percent of the housing units were built after 1969. Most are single-family homes. The median home value is $248,490, more than one-and-one-half times the national median. Because *Exurbanites* cannot take advantage of public transportation, nearly 80 percent of the households own at least two vehicles. Their average commute time to work is comparable to the US average.

Preferences

Because of their lifestage, *Exurbanites* residents focus on financial security. They consult with financial planners; have IRA accounts; own shares in money market funds, mutual funds, and tax-exempt funds; own common stock; and track their investments online. Between long-term care insurance and substantial life insurance policies, they are well insured. Many have home equity lines of credit.

To improve their properties, *Exurbanites* residents work on their homes, lawns, and gardens. They buy lawn and garden care products, shrubs, and plants. Although they will also work on home improvements such as interior and exterior painting, they hire contractors for more complicated projects. To help them complete their projects, they own all kinds of home improvement tools such as saws, sanders, and wallpaper strippers.

They are very physically active; they lift weights, practice yoga, and jog to stay fit. They also go boating, hiking, and kayaking; play Frisbee; take photos; and go bird watching. When vacationing in the United States, they hike, downhill ski, play golf, attend live theater, and see the sights. This is the top market for watching college basketball and professional football games. They listen to public and news/talk radio and contribute to PBS. They participate in civic activities, serve on committees of local organizations, address public meetings, and help with fundraising. Many are members of charitable organizations.

39 Young and Restless

Segment Code 39
Segment Name .....*Young and Restless*
LifeMode Summary Group .....L4 *Solo Acts*
Urbanization Summary Group ....U4 *Metro Cities II*

Demographic

Change is the constant for *Young and Restless* households. This young, on-the-go population has a median age of 28.6 years. Approximately two-thirds of them are younger than 35. Fifty-eight percent of these households are either single person or shared. Neighborhoods are diverse. Fifty-six percent of the residents are white; however, an above-average representation of blacks, Hispanics, and Asians also live in these neighborhoods.

Socioeconomic

The median household income is $46,185, and the median net worth is $12,857. Although the median household income is below the US median; only 23 percent of these residents have children, giving them more disposable income than segments with similar income levels. They are educated; 36 percent aged 25 years or older hold a bachelor's or graduate degree; 69 percent have attended college. These ethnically diverse folks are very career-oriented. Seventy-two percent are in the labor force; 10.2 percent are unemployed. Seventy-four percent of the females are working. Most employed residents have professional, sales, service, or office/administration support jobs.

Residential

These neighborhoods are in metropolitan areas in the South, West, and Midwest; the highest concentration is in Texas. Ranked fifth of the Tapestry segments for renters, 85 percent rent apartments in multiunit buildings. Most of the housing was built in the 1970s and 1980s. They don't mind moving for better jobs; 85 percent have moved in the last five years.

Preferences

These young, single professionals are pursuing their careers and living a busy lifestyle. They are technologically savvy and take advantage of the convenience provided by many products and services. They go online to communicate with friends and family, shop, bank, and look for jobs.

They read magazines to stay current on the latest lifestyle and entertainment trends and are just as likely to read a music magazine as a business publication. They go online for the latest news and sports. Television viewing is average. Radio is a good way to reach them; they listen to urban and contemporary hit music.

Seeing movies at theaters and on DVD is a major source of entertainment. They also enjoy going to bars or nightclubs. Their busy schedule also includes working out at the gym and playing various sports. Domestic vehicles have a slight edge in this market.

PL-94: 2010 Census Population for the Kansas City-Overland Park-Kansas City Combined Statistical Area
Population by County

	2000	2010	Change	% Change
Missouri Counties				
Bates County	16653	17,049	396	2.38%
Caldwell County	8969	9,424	455	5.07%
Cass County	82092	99,478	17,386	21.18%
Clay County	184006	221,939	37,933	20.62%
Clinton County	18979	20,743	1,764	9.29%
Jackson County	654880	674,158	19,278	2.94%
Johnson County	48258	52,595	4,337	8.99%
Lafayette County	32960	33,381	421	1.28%
Platte County	73781	89,322	15,541	21.06%
Ray County	23354	23,494	140	0.60%
Kansas Counties				
Atchison	16,774	16,924	150	0.89%
Franklin	24,784	25,992	1,208	4.87%
Johnson	451,086	544,179	93,093	20.64%
Leavenworth	68,691	76,227	7,536	10.97%
Linn	9,570	9,656	86	0.90%
Miami	28,351	32,787	4,436	15.65%
Wyandotte	157,882	157,505	(377)	-0.24%
MARC Region	1,724,123	1,919,089	194,966	11.31%
MPO[1] total	1,672,418	1,862,808	190,390	11.38%
MSA total	1,836,038	2,035,334	199,296	10.85%
CSA[2] total	1,901,070	2,104,853	203,783	10.72%

[1] The Metropolitan Planning Organization area: Cass, Clay, Jackson and Platte counties in Missouri, and Johnson, Leavenworth and Wyandotte counties in Kansas.

[2] The Combined Statistical Area adds the Warrensburg and Atchison Micropolitan areas to the Kansas City Metropolitan area.

PL-94: 2010 Census Population for the 9-County MARC Region
Cities by State

CITY	STATE	COUNTY	2010
Basehor	KS	Leavenworth County	4,613
Bonner Springs	KS	Leavenworth, Wyandotte counties	7,314
Bucyrus	KS	Miami County	193
De Soto	KS	Johnson County	5,720
Easton	KS	Leavenworth County	253
Edgerton	KS	Johnson County	1,671
Edwardsville	KS	Wyandotte County	4,340
Fairway	KS	Johnson County	3,882
Fontana	KS	Miami County	224
Gardner	KS	Johnson County	19,123
Hillsdale	KS	Miami County	229
Kansas City	KS	Wyandotte County	145,786
Lake Quivira	KS	Johnson, Wyandotte counties	906
Lansing	KS	Leavenworth County	11,265
Leavenworth	KS	Leavenworth County	35,251
Leawood	KS	Johnson County	31,867
Lenexa	KS	Johnson County	48,190
Linwood	KS	Leavenworth County	375
Louisburg	KS	Miami County	4,315
Merriam	KS	Johnson County	11,003
Mission	KS	Johnson County	9,323
Mission Hills	KS	Johnson County	3,498
Mission Woods	KS	Johnson County	178
Olathe	KS	Johnson County	125,872
Osawatomie	KS	Miami County	4,447
Overland Park	KS	Johnson County	173,372
Paola	KS	Miami County	5,602
Prairie Village	KS	Johnson County	21,447
Roeland Park	KS	Johnson County	6,731
Shawnee	KS	Johnson County	62,209
Spring Hill	KS	Johnson, Miami counties	5,437
Tonganoxie	KS	Leavenworth County	4,996
Westwood	KS	Johnson County	1,506
Westwood Hills	KS	Johnson County	359
Archie	MO	Cass County	1,170
Avondale	MO	Clay County	440
Baldwin Park	MO	Cass County	92
Belton	MO	Cass County	23,116
Birmingham	MO	Clay County	183
Blue Springs	MO	Jackson County	52,575
Buckner	MO	Jackson County	3,076
Camden	MO	Ray County	191
Camden Point	MO	Platte County	474
Claycomo	MO	Clay County	1,430
Cleveland	MO	Cass County	661
Creighton	MO	Cass County	349
Crystal Lakes	MO	Ray County	358
Dearborn	MO	Platte County (part)	496
Drexel	MO	Cass County (part)	856
East Lynne	MO	Cass County	303
Edgerton	MO	Platte County	546

Elmira	MO	Ray County	50
Excelsior Estates	MO	Clay, Ray counties	147
Excelsior Springs	MO	Clay, Ray counties	11,084
Farley	MO	Platte County	269
Ferrelview	MO	Platte County	451
Fleming	MO	Ray County	128
Freeman	MO	Cass County	482
Garden City	MO	Cass County	1,642
Gladstone	MO	Clay County	25,410
Glenaire	MO	Clay County	545
Grain Valley	MO	Jackson County	12,854
Grandview	MO	Jackson County	24,475
Greenwood	MO	Jackson County	5,221
Gunn City	MO	Cass County	118
Hardin	MO	Ray County	569
Harrisonville	MO	Cass County	10,019
Henrietta	MO	Ray County	369
Holt	MO	Clay County (part)	370
Homestead	MO	Ray County	185
Houston Lake	MO	Platte County	235
Iatan	MO	Platte County	45
Independence	MO	Cla, Jackson counties	116,830
Kansas City	MO	Cass, Clay, Jackson, Platte counties	459,787
Kearney	MO	Clay County	8,381
Lake Annette	MO	Cass County	100
Lake Lotawana	MO	Jackson County	1,939
Lake Tapawingo	MO	Jackson County	730
Lake Waukomis	MO	Platte County	870
Lake Winnebago	MO	Cass County	1,131
Lawson	MO	Clay, Ray counties	2,473
Lee's Summit	MO	Cass, Jackson	91,364
Levasy	MO	Jackson County	83
Liberty	MO	Clay County	29,149
Loch Lloyd	MO	Cass County	600
Lone Jack	MO	Jackson County	1,050
Missouri City	MO	Clay County	267
Mosby	MO	Clay County	190
North Kansas City	MO	Clay County	4,208
Northmoor	MO	Platte County	325
Oak Grove	MO	Jackson County (part)	7,686
Oaks	MO	Clay County	129
Oakview	MO	Clay County	375
Oakwood	MO	Clay County	185
Oakwood Park	MO	Clay County	188
Orrick	MO	Ray County	837
Parkville	MO	Platte County	5,554
Peculiar	MO	Cass County	4,608
Platte City	MO	Platte County	4,691
Platte Woods	MO	Platte County	385
Pleasant Hill	MO	Cass, Jackson counties	8,113
Pleasant Valley	MO	Clay County	2,961
Prathersville	MO	Clay County	124
Randolph	MO	Clay County	52
Raymore	MO	Cass County	19,206
Raytown	MO	Jackson County	29,526

Rayville	MO	Ray County	223
Richmond	MO	Ray County	5,797
Ridgely	MO	Platte County	104
River Bend	MO	Jackson County	10
Riverside	MO	Platte County	2,937
Riverview Estates	MO	Cass County	82
Sibley	MO	Jackson County	357
Smithville	MO	Clay County	8,425
Strasburg	MO	Cass County	141
Sugar Creek	MO	Jackson County	3,345
Tracy	MO	Platte County	208
Unity Village	MO	Jackson County	99
Weatherby Lake	MO	Platte County	1,723
West Line	MO	Cass County	97
Weston	MO	Platte County	1,641
Wood Heights	MO	Ray County	717

NOTE: The addition of these incorporated areas does not add up to the totals by county.
Please see the Cities by County tab or the Counties tab for complete totals that include unincorporated populations.

PL-94: 2010 Census Population for the 9-County MARC Region
Cities by County

	2010
Kansas	
Johnson County (KS)	**544,179**
Edgerton	1,671
Fairway	3,882
Gardner	19,123
Lake Quivira	866
Leawood	31,867
Lenexa	48,190
Merriam	11,003
Mission	9,323
Mission Hills	3,498
Mission Woods	178
Olathe	125,872
Overland Park	173,372
Prairie Village	21,447
Roeland Park	6,731
Shawnee	62,209
Spring Hill	3,100
Westwood	1,506
Westwood Hills	359
De Soto	5,720
Balance of Johnson County	14,262
Leavenworth County	76,227
Basehor	4,613
Bonner Springs (part)	6
Easton	253
Lansing	11,265
Leavenworth	35,251
Linwood	375
Tonganoxie	4,996
Balance of Leavenworth County	19,468
Miami County	32,787
Fontana	224
Hillsdale	229
Louisburg	4,315
Osawatomie	4,447
Paola	5,602
Spring Hill	2,337
Bucyrus	193
Balance of Miami County	15,440
Wyandotte County	157,505
Bonner Springs (part)	7,308
Edwardsville	4,340
Kansas City	145,786
Lake Quivira	40
Balance of Wyandotte County	31

Missouri

Cass County	99,478
Archie	1,170
Baldwin Park	92
Belton	23,116
Cleveland	661
Creighton	349
Drexel (part)	856
East Lynne	303
Freeman	482
Garden City	1,642
Gunn City	118
Harrisonville	10,019
Kansas City	197
Lake Annette	100
Lake Winnebago	1,131
Lee's Summit	1,917
Loch Lloyd	600
Peculiar	4,608
Pleasant Hill	8,107
Raymore	19,206
Riverview Estates	82
Strasburg	141
West Line	97
Balance of Cass County	24,484
Clay County	221,939
Avondale	440
Birmingham	183
Claycomo	1,430
Excelsior Estates	2
Excelsior Springs	10,926
Gladstone	25,410
Glenaire	545
Holt (part)	370
Independence	0
Kansas City	113,415
Kearney	8,381
Lawson	142
Liberty	29,149
Missouri City	267
Mosby	190
North Kansas City	4,208
Oaks	129
Oakview	375
Oakwood	185
Oakwood Park	188
Pleasant Valley	2,961
Prathersville	124
Randolph	52
Smithville	8,425
Sugar Creek	0
Balance of Clay County	14,442

Jackson County	674,158
Blue Springs	52,575
Buckner	3,076
Grain Valley	12,854
Grandview	24,475
Greenwood	5,221
Independence	116,830
Kansas City	302,499
Lake Lotawana	1,939
Lake Tapawingo	730
Lee's Summit	89,447
Levasy	83
Lone Jack	1,050
Oak Grove (part)	7,686
Pleasant Hill	6
Raytown	29,526
River Bend	10
Sibley	357
Sugar Creek	3,345
Unity Village	99
Balance of Jackson County	22,350
Platte County	89,322
Camden Point	474
Dearborn (part)	496
Edgerton	546
Farley	269
Ferrelview	451
Houston Lake	235
Iatan	45
Kansas City	43,676
Lake Waukomis	870
Northmoor	325
Parkville	5,554
Platte City	4,691
Platte Woods	385
Ridgely	104
Riverside	2,937
Smithville	0
Tracy	208
Weatherby Lake	1,723
Weston	1,641
Balance of Platte County	24,692
Ray County	23,494
Camden	191
Crystal Lakes	358
Elmira	50
Excelsior Estates	145
Excelsior Springs	158
Fleming	128
Hardin	569
Henrietta	369
Homestead	185
Lawson	2,331

Orrick	837
Rayville	223
Richmond	5,797
Wood Heights	717
Balance of Ray County	11,436

Totals for Split cities with population within the MARC boundary

Bonner Springs, KS	7,314
Lake Quivira, KS	906
Spring Hill, KS	5,437
Excelsior Estates, MO	147
Excelsior Springs, MO	11,084
Kansas City, MO	459,787
Lawson, MO	2,473
Lee's Summit, MO	91,364
Pleasant Hill, MO	8,113

PL-94: 2010 Census Population for the 9-County MARC Region
Cities by Population Size

CITY	STATE	COUNTY	2010
Kansas City	MO	Cass, Clay, Jackson, Platte counties	459,787
Overland Park	KS	Johnson County	173,372
Kansas City	KS	Wyandotte County	145,786
Olathe	KS	Johnson County	125,872
Independence	MO	Clay, Jackson	116,830
Lee's Summit	MO	Cass, Jackson counties	91,364
Shawnee	KS	Johnson County	62,209
Blue Springs	MO	Jackson County	52,575
Lenexa	KS	Johnson County	48,190
Leavenworth	KS	Leavenworth County	35,251
Leawood	KS	Johnson County	31,867
Raytown	MO	Jackson County	29,526
Liberty	MO	Clay County	29,149
Gladstone	MO	Clay County	25,410
Grandview	MO	Jackson County	24,475
Belton	MO	Cass County	23,116
Prairie Village	KS	Johnson County	21,447
Raymore	MO	Cass County	19,206
Gardner	KS	Johnson County	19,123
Grain Valley	MO	Jackson County	12,854
Lansing	KS	Leavenworth County	11,265
Excelsior Springs	MO	Clay, Ray counties	11,084
Merriam	KS	Johnson County	11,003
Harrisonville	MO	Cass County	10,019
Mission	KS	Johnson County	9,323
Smithville	MO	Clay, Platte counties	8,425
Kearney	MO	Clay County	8,381
Pleasant Hill	MO	Cass, Jackson	8,113
Oak Grove	MO	Jackson County (part)	7,686
Bonner Springs	KS	Leavenworth, Wyandotte counties	7,314
Roeland Park	KS	Johnson County	6,731
Richmond	MO	Ray County	5,797
De Soto	KS	Johnson County	5,720
Paola	KS	Miami County	5,602
Parkville	MO	Platte County	5,554
Spring Hill	KS	Johnson, Miami counties	5,437
Greenwood	MO	Jackson County	5,221
Tonganoxie	KS	Leavenworth County	4,996
Platte City	MO	Platte County	4,691
Basehor	KS	Leavenworth County	4,613
Peculiar	MO	Cass County	4,608
Osawatomie	KS	Miami County	4,447
Edwardsville	KS	Wyandotte County	4,340
Louisburg	KS	Miami County	4,315
North Kansas City	MO	Clay County	4,208
Fairway	KS	Johnson County	3,882
Mission Hills	KS	Johnson County	3,498
Sugar Creek	MO	Clay, Jackson counties	3,345
Buckner	MO	Jackson County	3,076
Pleasant Valley	MO	Clay County	2,961
Riverside	MO	Platte County	2,937

Lawson	MO	Clay, Ray counties	2,473
Lake Lotawana	MO	Jackson County	1,939
Weatherby Lake	MO	Platte County	1,723
Edgerton	KS	Johnson County	1,671
Garden City	MO	Cass County	1,642
Weston	MO	Platte County	1,641
Westwood	KS	Johnson County	1,506
Claycomo	MO	Clay County	1,430
Archie	MO	Cass County	1,170
Lake Winnebago	MO	Cass County	1,131
Lone Jack	MO	Jackson County	1,050
Lake Quivira	KS	Johnson, Wyandotte counties	906
Lake Waukomis	MO	Platte County	870
Drexel	MO	Cass County (part)	856
Orrick	MO	Ray County	837
Lake Tapawingo	MO	Jackson County	730
Wood Heights	MO	Ray County	717
Cleveland	MO	Cass County	661
Loch Lloyd	MO	Cass County	600
Hardin	MO	Ray County	569
Edgerton	MO	Platte County	546
Glenaire	MO	Clay County	545
Dearborn	MO	Platte County (part)	496
Freeman	MO	Cass County	482
Camden Point	MO	Platte County	474
Ferrelview	MO	Platte County	451
Avondale	MO	Clay County	440
Platte Woods	MO	Platte County	385
Linwood	KS	Leavenworth County	375
Oakview	MO	Clay County	375
Holt	MO	Clay County (part)	370
Henrietta	MO	Ray County	369
Westwood Hills	KS	Johnson County	359
Crystal Lakes	MO	Ray County	358
Sibley	MO	Jackson County	357
Creighton	MO	Cass County	349
Northmoor	MO	Platte County	325
East Lynne	MO	Cass County	303
Farley	MO	Platte County	269
Missouri City	MO	Clay County	267
Easton	KS	Leavenworth County	253
Houston Lake	MO	Platte County	235
Hillsdale	KS	Miami County	229
Fontana	KS	Miami County	224
Rayville	MO	Ray County	223
Tracy	MO	Platte County	208
Bucyrus	KS	Miami County	193
Camden	MO	Ray County	191
Mosby	MO	Clay County	190
Oakwood Park	MO	Clay County	188
Homestead	MO	Ray County	185
Oakwood	MO	Clay County	185
Birmingham	MO	Clay County	183
Mission Woods	KS	Johnson County	178
Excelsior Estates	MO	Clay, Ray counties	147

Strasburg	MO	Cass County	141
Oaks	MO	Clay County	129
Fleming	MO	Ray County	128
Prathersville	MO	Clay County	124
Gunn City	MO	Cass County	118
Ridgely	MO	Platte County	104
Lake Annette	MO	Cass County	100
Unity Village	MO	Jackson County	99
West Line	MO	Cass County	97
Baldwin Park	MO	Cass County	92
Levasy	MO	Jackson County	83
Riverview Estates	MO	Cass County	82
Randolph	MO	Clay County	52
Elmira	MO	Ray County	50
Iatan	MO	Platte County	45
River Bend	MO	Jackson County	10

name	STATENAM	POPCENSU	POPBASE_	POP_2000	POP_2001	POP_2002	POP_2003	POP_2004	POP_2005	POP_2006	POP_2007	POP_2008	POP_2009
Lenexa city	Kansas	40238	40236	40381	40671	41186	42012	42582	43342	44467	45620	46858	48087

population estimates from US Census website

www.census.gov/popest/cities/cities.html

excel file sub-est2009_al_mo (all caps) ↑

Artist's rendering of the proposed trailhead and utility-easement trail corridor at Heritage Forest Park

5. Appendices

Section 5.1 | Appendices

5.1 | Meeting Minutes and Additional Notes p. 209

5.2 | Sample Park Analysis Form p. 247

5.3 | Public Opinion Survey Questionnaire p. 261

5.4 | Public Opinion Survey Response Data p. 273

5.5 | US Census and Demographic Data p. 295

5.6 | Grant Funding Descriptions/Details p. 313

(page intentionally left blank)

CITY OF LENEXA

PARKS, RECREATION & OPEN SPACE

Prepared by: RMPK Funding

Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Level of Funding	FEDERAL
Management Agency	Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks 512 SE 25th Ave. Pratt, KS 67124
Website	http://www.kdwp.state.ks.us/news/State-Parks/Grants
Description	The purpose of the program is to provide and maintain recreational trails and trail-related facilities identified in, or that further a specific goal of, the Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan (SCORP), as required by the federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Act (LWCF).
Eligible Activities	A grant may be used for the acquisition of land, trail corridor or long-term lease, or construction of outdoor recreational trails and trail facilities. Development projects may include the building of new facilities or renovation of existing facilities. Only acquisition or development done after federal approval of a grant is eligible for funding. The project must provide a site or facilities for public outdoor recreation. Indoor facilities, such as enclosed shelters, are not eligible for RTP funding. Support facilities (lighting, utilities, restrooms, parking areas, etc.) may be eligible for assistance, but have low priority for funding. Support facilities are evaluated according to the recreation activities or trails served. Renovation projects will be accepted only for facilities or trails that do not meet current ADA or environmental standards. Repairs associated with routine maintenance, or renovation caused by vandalism or poor maintenance, are not eligible for funding.

Land & Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)

Level of Funding	FEDERAL
Management Agency	Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks 512 SE 25th Ave. Pratt, KS 67124
Website	http://www.kdwp.state.ks.us/news/State-Parks/Grants
Description	The U.S. Department of the Interior provides funds, administered by the Kansas Department of Wildlife and Parks (KDWP) for the acquisition or development of recreational facilities. Acquisition: An acquisition project approved for funding must be acquired within one year of the effective date and must be developed for public use within in three years of completion of acquisition. Development: Grantees have up to three years to complete project elements. Eligible facilities include picnic areas, trails, pools, ball fields, courts for tennis, basketball and volleyball, play fishing features. Associated support facilities s restrooms, concessions, and landscaping are el for more than 50% of the project cost. 
Grant Amount	$200,000 maximum

Transportation Enhancement Program (TEP)

Level of Funding	STATE
Management Agency	Kansas Department of Transportation Dwight D. Eisenhower State Office Building 700 S.W. Harrison Street Topeka, KS 66603-3754
Website	http://www.ksdot.org/
Description	The Transportation Enhancement (TE) Program, provides funding to local governments for transportation projects.
Eligibility	An application must meet the following three categories: 1) Relate to surface transportation 2) Include at least twelve federally designated Transportation Enhancement activities in the following categories: Historic Scenic and Environmental Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities 3) Projects must be submitted by a local governing entity
Grant Amount	$1,000,000 (20% Match required)

Safe Routes to School Program

Level of Funding	STATE
Management Agency	Kansas Department of Transportation Dwight D. Eisenhower State Office Building 700 S.W. Harrison Street Topeka, KS 66603-3754
Website	http://www.ksdot.org/
Description	The Kansas Department of Transportation, with funding from the Federal Highway Administration, has developed a new program that will provide reimbursements to local public authorities, school districts, and non-profit associations for projects or activities that will make walking and bicycling to school safe, enjoyable and routine.
Eligible Projects	Funding will be provided for infrastructural projects such as improvements to sidewalks, traffic calming, pedestrian and bicycle crossing, on- and off-street bicycle facilities, secure bicycle parking, and traffic diversions. Funding will be provided for non-infratructural activities such as public awareness campaigns and outreach to press and community leaders, establishing walking school buses and bike trains, traffic education and enforcement, student training on bicycle and pedestrian safety, and funding for training volunteers and staff. Funding will also be provided for applicants to develop safe routes to schools plans, with the possibility of future funding to implement the plan. Phase 1 - funding provided in order to create an SRTS plan. Reimbursement can be used for technical assistance, assessment, and project planning support. Phase 2 - funding for the implementation of all or portions of an SRTS plan.
Grant Amount	$15,000 maimum for phase 1 projects $250,000 maximum for phase 2 projects

Attraction Development Grant Program

Level of Funding	State
Management Agency	Kansas Department of Wildlife, Parks & Tourism, Travel and Tourism Division 1020 S. Kansas Avenue Suite 200 Topeka, KS 66612
Website	http://travelksindustry.com
Description	To assist the development of a critical mass of sustainable, market-driven travel experiences within the state that will influence destination travel decisions by identified travel customers, creating economic impact resulting from the creation of jobs, capital investment, revenue, and/or visitation in Kansas. The intent of the grant is to provide strategic economic assistance to public and private entities and not-for-profit groups that are developing tourism attractions that serve the mission of the grant program and the division.
Grant Amount	$60,000
Match	60%
Application Deadline	September

Lowe's Neighborhood Grants

Level of Funding	PRIVATE
Management Agency	Lowe's Home Improvement Warehouse Stores Local Stores
Website	www.lowes.com
Description	Lowe's Home Improvement Warehouse stores play a positive role in improving its communities by providing funds that benefit neighborhood beautification projects, educational programs and community resources such as parks and safety programs.
Grant Amount	$5,000 to $25,000
Match Amount	0%

USTA Public Facilities Grant

Level of Funding	Non-Profit
Management Agency	United State Tennis Association 70 West Red oak Lane White Plains, NY 10604 (919) 622-7277
Website	http://www.usta.com
Description	The USTA program provides funding for the development and renovation of tennis facilities located in under-served areas.
Project Examples	Projects can include: court construction, renovation, resurfacing, and support facilities that enhance participation in tennis programs.
Grant Amount	$50,000
Match	80%
Application Deadline	Rolling Application Deadline

Tony Hawk Foundation

Level of Funding	Private
Management Agency	Tony Hawk Foundation 1611-A S. Melrose Drive #360 Vista, CA 92081 USA
Website	http://www.tonyhawkfoundation.org/
Description	The principal focus of foundation grants will be to encourage and facilitate the design, development, construction, and operation of new quality skateboard parks and facilities, located in low-income communities in the United States.
Grant Amount	$1,000 - $25,000
Match	no match required

TIGER Discretionary Grant Program

Level of Funding	Federal
Management Agency	United State Department of Transportation 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20590
Website	www.dot.gov/tiger
Description **Project Eligibility**	The US Department of Transportation's TIGER Discretionary Grants will fund surface transportation projects. This competitive grant will be awarded to projects that have a significant impact on the nation, a region or metropolitan area. Priority will be given to projects that will quickly create and preserve jobs and stimulate economic activity especially in areas that are economically stressed. Selection Criteria include: •Improving the condition of existing transportation facilities and systems •Contributing to the economic competitiveness of the United States •Fostering livable communities through place-based policies and investments that increase transportation choices and access to transportation services •Improving energy efficiency, reducing dependence on oil, reducing greenhouse gas emissions •Improving the safety of U.S. transportation facilities and systems
Grant Amount	$10,000,000 (population under 50,000)
Match	20%
Application Deadline	October

American Academy of Dermatology Grants

Level of Funding	Non-Profit
Management Agency	American Academy of Dermatology 930 E. Woodfield Road Schaumburg, FL 60173
Website	www.aad.com
Description	Funding to develop shade structures in high-use public areas. Concentration on facilities that serve children and seniors.
Grant Amount	$8,000 maximum
Match	no match required

U.S. Soccer Foundation Grants

Level of Funding	FEDERAL
Management Agency	U.S. Soccer Foundation 1211 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036
Website	http://www.ussoccerfoundation.org/site/c.ipIQKXOvFoG/b.5482625/k.CF87/Annual_Program__Field_Grants.htm
Description	Grants support projects throughout the U.S. that focus on keeping children in vulnerable communities active, healthy and safe from the dangers of the streets. The Foundation awards grants to help pay for field development, uniforms, player equipment, travel costs, facility rental, registration costs, and training for players, coaches and referees
Grant Amount	$100,000 maximum for Program & Field Grants $200,000 maximum for Footprint Fields Building Grants
Match	no match is required
Application	October 2011

Arts-in-Communities Project Mini-Grant Program

Level of Funding	STATE
Management Agency	Kansas Arts Commission 700 SW Jackson, Suite 1004 Topeka, KS 66603
Website	http://www.arts.ks.gov
Description	Arts-in-Communities Project Mini-Grants are offered to encourage non-arts organizations and emerging nonprofit arts organizations and governmental agencies to develop new and expand existing arts activities.
Objectives	1. To impact local arts and cultural life and enhance cultural development in communities. 2. To support arts and cultural activities originating from local community
Grant Amount	$2,000 maximum
Match	1:4
Application Deadline	

NFL Grassroots Field Grant Program

Level of Funding	Private
Management Agency	NFL Grassroots Program 501 Seventh Ave, 7th Floor New York, NY 10018
Website	https://www.nflyff.org/grant_programs/grassroots
Description	The NFL Grassroots Field Grant Program provides non-profit, neighborhood-based organizations and high schools with financial and technical assistance to improve the quality, safety and accessibility of football fields in underserved areas of NFL markets. A partnership between the YFF and Local Initiatives Support Corporation (LISC), the program makes available matching grants up to $200,000 for capital improvement projects including field surface grants and general field support
Grant Amount	$50,000 - $200,000

Environmental Education Grants

Level of Funding	FEDERAL
Management Agency	Environmental Protection Agency Office of Environmental Education 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (1704A) Washington, DC 20460
Website	http://www.epa.gov/enviroed/grants.html
Description	Environmental Education Grants support environmental education projects that enhance the public's awareness, knowledge, and skills to help people make informed decisions that affect environmental quality. EPA awards grants each year based on funding appropriated by Congress.
Grant Amount	$15,000 - $100,000
Match	25%

Preserve America Grant

Level of Funding	Federal
Management Agency	Historic Preservation Grants National Park Service 1201 "Eye" Street, NW (2256) Washington, DC 20005
Website	Preservation_Grants_Info@nps.gov
Description	Preserve America grants support planning, development, and implementation of innovative activities and programs in heritage tourism such as surveying and documenting historic resources, interpreting historic sites, planning, marketing, and training. cultural resources.
Grant Amount	$200,000

Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant Program

Level of Funding	Federal
Management Agency	Kansas Division of Emergency Management 2800 SW Topeka Boulevard Topeka, KS 66611
Website	http://www.kansastag.gov
Description	Created to assist state and local governments with hazard mitigation activities, the Pre-Disaster Mitigation Program is a nationwide competitive grant program. The program funds cost-effective mitigation projects that reduce the overall risk to people and property, while also minimizing the cost of disaster recovery.
Grant Amount	$3,000,000
Match	25%
Application Deadline	October

Heritage Trust Fund

Level of Funding	STATE
Management Agency	Kansas Historical Society 6425 SW 6th Avenue Topeka, KS 66615
Website	http://www.kshs.org
Description	The Heritage Trust Fund (HTF) is a state program that provides matching funds for the preservation of properties listed in the National Register of Historic Places or the Register of Historic Kansas Places. The HTF reimburses expenses for projects that preserve or restore historic properties.
Grant Amount	$5,000 - $90,000
Match	20%

Our Town

Level of Funding	FEDERAL
Management Agency	National Endowment for the Arts 1100 Pennsylvania Ave. NW Washington, DC 20506
Website	http://www.nea.gov/grants/apply/OurTown/index.html
Description	Our Town,will provide a limited number of grants for creative placemaking projects that contribute toward the livability of communities and help transform them into lively, beautiful, and sustainable places with the arts at their core. Our Town will invest in creative and innovative projects in which communities, together with their arts and design organizations and artists, are looking to increase their livability, and specifically are seeking to: · Improve their quality of life. · Encourage creative activity. · Create community identity and a sense of place. · Revitalize local economies. Projects may include planning, design, and arts engagement activities.
Grant Amount	$25,000 - $150,000
Match	100%

Historic Preservation Fund

Level of Funding	STATE
Granting Agency	Kansas Historical Society 6425 SW 6th Avenue Topeka, KS 66615
Website	http://www.kshs.org
Description	The Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) finances local preservation activities that will contribute to planning for the preservation of the built environment and archeological resources.
Eligible Activities	- Preservation of plans for communities or historic properties - Surveys of cultural resources - National Register historic district nominations - Design-review guidelines for historic districts - Walking tour brochures, websites or podcasts - Educational presentations, conferences or workshops
Grant Amount	TBD
Match	40%

Renewable Energy Incentives Grant Program

Level of Funding	STATE
Management Agency	Kansas State Energy Office 1300 SW Arrowhead Road Topeka, KS 66604
Website	http://www.kcc.ks.gov
Description	These grants are for the purchase of commercially available equipment (or other tangible assets) incorporated into renewable energy projects undertaken by eligible applicants. These grant funds may be used to develop, implement, and install renewable energy projects, which may include solar energy, wind energy, geothermal energy, biomass, or hydropower. Projects must reduce the applicant's reliance on traditional energy resources.
Grant Amount	$250,000 maximum
Match	25%
Application Deadline	TBD

FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES CALENDAR

The following calendar provides a general timeframe for the application deadlines for various grant programs. These deadlines are not definite as funding programs may change the timing of grant cycles or may not have their programs funded every year.

January

Renewable Energy Incentives Grant

Urban Waters Grant

Lowes Neighborhood Grant

February

Tony Hawk Foundation

Historic Preservation Fund

March

Our Town Grant Program

Arts-in-Communities Project Mini-Grant Program

Historic Preservation Fund

April

Land & Water Conservation Fund (LWCF)

May

Environmental Education Grants

Safe Routes to School (SRTS)

June

July

August

Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

September

October

American Academy of Dermatology (AAD)

U.S. Soccer Foundation

Tiger Grant

Pre-Disaster Mitigation Grant

November

Heritage Trust Fund

December

Transportation Enhancement Program (TEP)

NFL Grassroots Program

U.S. Soccer Foundation Grant

(page intentionally left blank)

Parks | Public Spaces | Natural Areas | Cultural Areas | Greenways | Trails | Streets

AECOM